

**SPACES OF CONTINUOUS FUNCTIONS,  
 $\Sigma$ -PRODUCTS AND BOX TOPOLOGY**

J. ANGOA \* AND Á. TAMARIZ-MASCARÚA \*

ABSTRACT. For a Tychonoff space  $X$ , we will denote by  $X_0$  the set of its isolated points and  $X_1$  will be equal to  $X \setminus X_0$ . The symbol  $C(X)$  denotes the space of real-valued continuous functions defined on  $X$ .  $\square\mathbb{R}^\kappa$  is the Cartesian product  $\mathbb{R}^\kappa$  with its box topology, and  $C_\square(X)$  is  $C(X)$  with the topology inherited from  $\square\mathbb{R}^X$ . By  $\widehat{C}(X_1)$  we denote the set  $\{f \in C(X_1) : f \text{ can be continuously extended to all of } X\}$ . A space  $X$  is almost- $\omega$ -resolvable if it can be partitioned by a countable family of subsets in such a way that every non-empty open subset of  $X$  has a non-empty intersection with the elements of an infinite subcollection of the given partition. We analyze  $C_\square(X)$  when  $X_0$  is  $F_\sigma$  and prove: (1) for every topological space  $X$ , if  $X_0$  is  $F_\sigma$  in  $X$ , and  $\emptyset \neq X_1 \subset cl_X X_0$ , then  $C_\square(X) \cong \square\mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ ; (2) for every space  $X$  such that  $X_0$  is  $F_\sigma$ ,  $cl_X X_0 \cap X_1 \neq \emptyset$ , and  $X_1 \setminus cl_X X_0$  is almost- $\omega$ -resolvable, then  $C_\square(X)$  is homeomorphic to a free topological sum of  $\leq |\widehat{C}(X_1)|$  copies of  $\square\mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ , and, in this case,  $C_\square(X) \cong \square\mathbb{R}^{X_0}$  if and only if  $|\widehat{C}(X_1)| \leq 2^{|X_0|}$ . We conclude that for a space  $X$  such that  $X_0$  is  $F_\sigma$ ,  $C_\square(X)$  is never normal if  $|X_0| > \aleph_0$  [La], and, assuming  $CH$ ,  $C_\square(X)$  is paracompact if  $|X_0| = \aleph_0$  [Ru2]. We also analyze  $C_\square(X)$  when  $|X_1| = 1$  and when  $X$  is countably compact, and we scrutinize under what conditions  $\square\mathbb{R}^\omega$  is homeomorphic to some of its “ $\Sigma$ -products”; in particular, we prove that  $\square\mathbb{R}^\omega$  is homeomorphic to each of its subspaces  $\{f \in \square\mathbb{R}^\omega : \{n \in \omega : f(n) = 0\} \in p\}$  for every  $p \in \omega^*$ , and it is homeomorphic to  $\{f \in \square\mathbb{R}^\omega : \forall \epsilon > 0 \{n \in \omega : |f(n)| < \epsilon\} \in \mathcal{F}_0\}$  where  $\mathcal{F}_0$  is the Fréchet filter on  $\omega$ .

0. INTRODUCTION

All topological spaces considered in this article will be Tychonoff.

The spaces of continuous functions defined on a topological space  $X$  and with values in  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $C(X)$ , have been widely studied as a purely algebraic structure ([GJ]), and with a topological-algebraic structure ([BNS], [DH]).

One of the natural topologies associated with  $C(X)$  is the pointwise convergence topology, which is the topology in  $C(X)$  inherited from the Tychonoff topology of  $\mathbb{R}^X$ . This space is usually denoted by  $C_p(X)$ . A classical general problem on  $C_p$ -spaces consists of determining the relations between the topological properties of space  $X$  with the topological properties of  $C_p(X)$  ([Ar]).

A generalization of the Tychonoff topology for a product of topological spaces, is the box topology (see definition in Section 1) which was introduced by Tietze in [Ti]. The study of the box product of an infinite family of topological spaces has been a very useful source to

---

1991 *Mathematics Subject Classification*. 54C35, 54B10, 54D15.

*Key words and phrases*. spaces of real-valued continuous functions, box topology,  $\Sigma$ -product, almost- $\omega$ -resolvable space.

\* Research supported by Consejo Nacional de Ciencia y Tecnología (CONACyT) of Mexico, grant U42573-F.

construct some interesting topological spaces ([Ru1], [V]). With respect to paracompactness of box products, in 1963, in [Kn], the question, due to A. H. Stone, whether the cartesian product of an infinite collection of copies of the real line with its box topology is a normal space, was posed. In [Ru2], M.E. Rudin proved under  $CH$  that the box product of countably many  $\sigma$ -compact locally compact metrizable spaces is paracompact; and K. Kunen [Ku] showed, also using  $CH$ , that the box product of a countable family  $\{K_n : n < \omega\}$  of compact spaces is paracompact if and only if the Lindelöf degree of  $\square_{n < \omega} K_n$  is equal to  $\omega_1$ . Moreover, E.K. van Douwen [vD] showed that a box product of a countable collection of metrizable separable spaces need not be normal. Finally, L.B. Lawrence [La] proved in  $ZFC$  that the product of an uncountable family of copies of the real line is not normal.

So, it seems natural to ask about the relations between the topological properties of a space  $X$  and those of  $C(X)$  with its box topology, which we denote by  $C_\square(X)$ . In particular, it is natural to ask under what conditions on  $X$ ,  $C_\square(X)$  is normal or paracompact (the set  $C_\square(X)$  being a closed subset of  $\square\mathbb{R}^X$ ). In [TV], A. Tamariz-Mascarúa and H. Villegas-Rodríguez analyzed the space  $C_\square(X)$  when  $X$  is a topological space without isolated points. They obtained the following results (see the definition of an almost- $\omega$ -resolvable space in Section 1):

**0.1. Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a dense-in-itself space. Then,*

- (1)  *$X$  is an almost- $\omega$ -resolvable space iff  $C_\square(X)$  is a discrete space;*
- (2)  *$\text{Con}(ZFC)$  implies  $\text{Con}(ZFC + \text{for every space } X, C_\square(X) \text{ is a discrete subspace of } \square\mathbb{R}^X)$ ;*
- (3) *if  $X$  is a Baire irresolvable space, then  $C_\square(X)$  is not a discrete space.*

We recall here that a topological space  $X$  is *irresolvable* if it is dense-in-itself and it is not the union of two disjoint dense subsets; and, of course, a space  $X$  is *Baire irresolvable* if it is irresolvable and satisfies the Baire property. In [KST], it was proved that the existence of a Baire irresolvable space is equiconsistent with the existence of a measurable cardinal; then, the existence of a dense-in-itself space for which  $C_\square(X)$  is not discrete, is equiconsistent with the existence of a measurable cardinal (see [TV], Theorem 4.16). In particular, if  $X$  is a dense-in-itself almost- $\omega$ -resolvable space,  $C_\square(X)$  is more than a paracompact space.

The purpose of this article is to analyze spaces  $C_\square(X)$  when the subset  $X_0$  of isolated points of  $X$  is not empty, and to show the topological relations between  $C_\square(X)$  and  $\square\mathbb{R}^{X_0}$  and how the former can be expressed in terms of the latter. One of our main results states that for every space  $X$  for which  $Z = X \setminus cl_X X_0$  is almost- $\omega$ -resolvable and  $X_0$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -subset of  $X$ ,  $C_\square(X)$  is a free topological sum of copies of  $\square\mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ . Concluding that, by the results of Rudin and Lawrence, if  $X$  has the properties mentioned,  $C_\square(X)$  is not normal if  $|X_0| > \aleph_0$ , and  $CH$  implies  $C_\square(X)$  is paracompact when  $|X_0| = \aleph_0$ . We also obtain sufficient and necessary conditions on  $X$  under which  $C_\square(X)$  is homeomorphic to  $\square\mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ . One immediate conclusion which comes after these results is the fact that for spaces  $X$  and  $Y$  even with opposite topological properties,  $C_\square(X) \cong C_\square(Y)$  can happen. This fact will allow us to decide when  $\square\mathbb{R}^\kappa$  is or is not homeomorphic to some of its “ $\Sigma$ -products”. So, we can say that for a wide class of topological spaces  $X$ ,  $C_\square(X)$  is completely determined by some weak topological properties (of a set-theoretical type) of  $X$  and  $X_0$ .

In Section 1 we give some basic definitions and preliminary results. In Section 2 we discuss spaces  $C_\square(X)$  when  $X_0$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -subset of  $X$  and  $\emptyset \neq X_1 = X \setminus X_0 \subset cl_X X_0$ , and we prove that, in this case,  $C_\square(X) \cong \square\mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ . Section 3 is devoted to analyzing  $C_\square(X)$  in a more general situation:  $X_0$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -subset of  $X$ ,  $X^b = X_1 \cap cl_X X_0 \neq \emptyset$  and  $Z = X \setminus cl_X X_0$  is an almost- $\omega$ -resolvable space; we obtain that, in this case,  $C_\square(X)$  is homeomorphic to

a free topological sum of copies of  $\square\mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ . In Sections 4 and 5 we study  $C_{\square}(X)$  when  $|X_1| = 1$  and when  $X$  is countably compact, and we scrutinize under what conditions  $\square\mathbb{R}^{\omega}$  is homeomorphic to some of its “ $\Sigma$ -products”; in particular, we prove that  $\square\mathbb{R}^{\omega}$  is homeomorphic to its subspaces  $\Sigma_p^{\square}\mathbb{R}^{\omega} = \{f \in \square\mathbb{R}^{\omega} : \{n \in \omega : f(n) = 0\} \in p\}$  for every  $p \in \omega^*$ , and it is homeomorphic to  $\Sigma_{*,\mathcal{F}_0}^{\square}\mathbb{R}^{\omega} = \{f \in \square\mathbb{R}^{\omega} : \forall \epsilon > 0 \{n \in \omega : |f(n)| < \epsilon\} \in \mathcal{F}_0\}$  where  $\mathcal{F}_0$  is the Fréchet filter on  $\omega$ .

## 1. BASIC DEFINITIONS AND PRELIMINARIES

For a set  $X$  and a cardinal number  $\kappa$ ,  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  will be the collection of subsets of  $X$ ,  $[X]^{\kappa}$  is the collection of elements in  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  having cardinality  $\kappa$ , and  $[X]^{<\kappa}$  is the collection of elements in  $\mathcal{P}(X)$  having cardinality  $< \kappa$ . For a function  $f : X \rightarrow Y$  and a subset  $B$  of  $X$ ,  $f \upharpoonright B$  is the restriction of  $f$  to  $B$ . As we have already said, **every topological space  $X$  considered in this article will be completely regular and  $T_2$ ; that is, Tychonoff.** For a space  $X$ ,  $\beta X$  is the Stone-Ćech compactification of  $X$ .

Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{X_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$  be a collection of topological spaces. By  $\prod_{\alpha \in A} X_{\alpha}$ , we will represent the Cartesian product  $X = \prod_{\alpha \in A} X_{\alpha}$  of the family  $\mathcal{F}$  endowed with the box topology. The box topology is that generated in  $X$  by the open boxes; that is, by the subsets of the form  $\prod_{\alpha \in A} O_{\alpha}$  where  $O_{\alpha}$  is an open subset of  $X_{\alpha}$  for each  $\alpha \in A$ . Recall that the Tychonoff topology in  $X$  is generated by sets of the form  $\prod_{\alpha \in A} O_{\alpha}$  where each  $O_{\alpha}$  is open in  $X_{\alpha}$  and the set  $\{\alpha \in A : O_{\alpha} \neq X_{\alpha}\}$  is finite. It is obvious that the Tychonoff topology in  $X$  is contained in the box topology, and that they coincide iff  $|A| < \aleph_0$ .

It is well known that, for an infinite family  $\{X_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$  of non-trivial topological spaces,  $\prod_{\alpha \in A} X_{\alpha}$  is neither first countable nor locally compact, and it is never a topological vector space, but it is a topological group if each of the spaces  $X_{\alpha}$  is a topological group, with group operation  $+_{\alpha}$ , when we consider the sum of two elements  $(x_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$  and  $(b_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$  in  $\prod_{\alpha \in A} X_{\alpha}$  to be  $(a_{\alpha} +_{\alpha} b_{\alpha})_{\alpha \in A}$ . A good survey of the characteristics of the box topology can be found in [Wi].

For a topological space  $X$  we denote by  $\mathbb{R}^X$  the Cartesian product of  $|X|$  copies of the real line  $\mathbb{R}$  which can be considered as the set of functions from  $X$  to  $\mathbb{R}$ . The subset of  $\mathbb{R}^X$  whose elements are the continuous functions is denoted by  $C(X)$ . The space  $\square\mathbb{R}^X$  (resp.,  $T\mathbb{R}^X$ ) will be the set  $\mathbb{R}^X$  with the box topology (resp., the Tychonoff topology), and  $C_{\square}(X)$  (resp.,  $C_p(X)$ ) is the set  $C(X)$  considered as a subspace of  $\square\mathbb{R}^X$  (resp.,  $T\mathbb{R}^X$ ).

A space  $X$  is *almost- $\omega$ -resolvable* if there is a partition  $\{F_n : n < \omega\}$  of  $X$  such that every non-empty open subset  $V$  of  $X$  has a non-empty intersection with each  $F_n$  for every  $n \in J_V$ , where  $J_V$  is an infinite subset of  $\omega$ . It will be useful to consider the empty space  $\emptyset$  included in the class of almost- $\omega$ -resolvable spaces.

**For a space  $X$ , we will denote by  $X_0$  the set of isolated points in  $X$ , and  $X_1$  is its complement  $X \setminus X_0$ . The symbol  $X^b$  represents the set  $X_1 \cap cl_X X_0$  and  $Z$  will denote the set  $X \setminus (X^b \cup X_0)$ .**

Observe that, by Theorem 0.1, if  $Z$  is an almost- $\omega$ -resolvable space and  $X^b$  is empty, then  $C_{\square}(X) = C_{\square}(Z \oplus X_0) \cong C_{\square}(Z) \times C_{\square}(X_0)$  is the free topological sum of  $|C(X_1)|$  copies of the space  $\square\mathbb{R}^{\kappa}$  where  $\kappa = |X_0|$ . So, in this case, we have already obtained a clear relation between  $C_{\square}(X)$  and  $\square\mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ . **Hence, from now on we will assume that every space  $X$  satisfies  $X^b \neq \emptyset$ .**

The symbol  $\widehat{C}(X_1)$  stands for the set  $\{f \in C(X_1) : f \text{ has a continuous extension to all of } X\}$ . For each  $\widehat{x} \in \widehat{C}(X_1)$ , we take  $A_{\widehat{x}}(X) = \{f \in C(X) : f \upharpoonright_{X_1} = \widehat{x}\}$ . We will denote by  $\widehat{0}$  the function in  $C(X_1)$  which is equal to 0 everywhere. For  $f, g \in \mathbb{R}^X$ , the function

$f + g \in \mathbb{R}^X$  is defined as  $(f + g)(x) = f(x) + g(x)$  for each  $x \in X$ . Every mention to an algebraic structure on  $\mathbb{R}^X$  will refer to this operation. For two topological spaces  $X$  and  $Y$ , we will write  $X \cong Y$  if they are homeomorphic, and for topological groups  $G$  and  $H$ , the symbol  $H \simeq G$  will signify that  $H$  and  $G$  are topologically isomorphic. Finally, for an element  $x$  of a topological space  $X$ ,  $\mathcal{N}(x)$  will denote the system of neighborhoods of  $x$  in  $X$ . It is easy to prove the following results.

**1.1. Proposition.** *For a topological space  $X$  we have:*

- (1)  $\square\mathbb{R}^X$  is a topological group.
- (2)  $C_{\square}(X)$  is a closed topological subgroup of  $\square\mathbb{R}^X$ .
- (3)  $A_{\hat{x}}(X)$  is a closed topological subgroup of  $C_{\square}(X)$  for every  $\hat{x} \in \widehat{C}(X_1)$ .
- (4) For  $\hat{x} \in \widehat{C}(X_1)$ ,  $A_{\hat{x}}(X)$  and  $A_{\hat{0}}(X)$  are topologically isomorphic.
- (5) The family  $\{A_{\hat{x}}(X) : \hat{x} \in \widehat{C}(X_1)\}$  is a partition of  $C(X)$ .

(The referee pointed out to the authors that Erik van Douwen was probably the first to observe, in 1975, that the box product of topological groups is a topological group.)

For a subset  $Y$  of  $X$ , the symbol  $\pi_Y$  will represent the natural projection from  $\square\mathbb{R}^X$  to  $\square\mathbb{R}^Y$ ; that is,  $\pi_Y$  is the function defined by  $\pi_Y(f) = f \upharpoonright Y$ . If  $Y$  is the one-point set  $\{y\}$ , we will write  $\pi_y$  instead of  $\pi_{\{y\}}$ . The following lemma is very useful.

**1.2. Lemma.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space and let  $Y$  be a subset of  $X$  containing  $X_0$ . Then, the function  $\phi = \pi_Y \upharpoonright A_{\hat{0}}(X) : A_{\hat{0}}(X) \rightarrow \square\mathbb{R}^Y$  is an isomorphic embedding.*

*Proof.* It is trivial that  $\phi$  is one-to-one and furthermore  $\phi(f - g) = (f - g) \upharpoonright Y = (f \upharpoonright Y) - (g \upharpoonright Y) = \phi(f) - \phi(g)$ . Besides, if for each  $x \in Y$  we take an open subset  $G_x$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  which has 0 as one of its elements, then  $\phi^{-1}[\prod_{x \in Y} G_x] = A_{\hat{0}}(X) \cap \prod_{x \in X} H_x$  where  $H_x = G_x$  if  $x \in Y$  and  $H_x = \mathbb{R}$  if  $x \notin Y$ . So,  $\phi$  is a continuous function. Finally, if for each  $x \in X$ ,  $H_x$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  containing 0, then  $\phi[\prod_{x \in X} H_x \cap A_{\hat{0}}(X)] = \prod_{x \in Y} H_x \cap \phi[A_{\hat{0}}(X)]$ .  $\square$

Let  $Y$  be a set,  $S \subset Y$ ,  $T = Y \setminus S$  and let  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n : n < \omega\}$  be a partition of  $S$  (that is,  $\cup_{n < \omega} F_n = S$ ,  $F_n \neq \emptyset$  for each  $n < \omega$ , and if  $n \neq m$ , then  $F_n \cap F_m = \emptyset$ ). We define  $E(\mathcal{F}) \subset \mathbb{R}^Y$  as  $E(\mathcal{F}) = \cap_{k < \omega} E_k(\mathcal{F})$ , and  $E_k(\mathcal{F}) = \cup_{m < \omega} E_{k,m}(\mathcal{F})$ , where

$$E_{k,m}(\mathcal{F})(x) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & \text{if } x \in F_i \text{ and } i \leq m, \\ [-\frac{1}{2^{i+k}}, \frac{1}{2^{i+k}}] & \text{if } x \in F_i \text{ and } m < i, \\ \mathbb{R} & \text{if } x \in T. \end{cases}$$

Let us obtain some properties of the sets just defined (see [Ru3]).

**1.3. Proposition.** *Let  $Y$  be a topological space and let  $\mathcal{F}$  be a partition of  $S \subset Y$ . Then,  $E(\mathcal{F})$  is a clopen topological subgroup of  $\square\mathbb{R}^Y$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n : n < \omega\}$  be a partition of  $S$ . If  $z \in E(\mathcal{F})$ , there is a strictly increasing sequence  $\{m_k : k < \omega\}$  such that  $z \in E_{k,m_k}(\mathcal{F})$  for each  $k < \omega$ . We define the following open box  $W$  which contains  $z$ :

$$W(x) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{R} & \text{if } x \in F_i, i \leq m_1, \\ (-\frac{1}{2^{i+k-1}}, \frac{1}{2^{i+k-1}}) & \text{if } x \in F_i, m_k < i \leq m_{k+1} \text{ and } k \geq 1, \\ \mathbb{R} & \text{if } x \notin S. \end{cases}$$

Let  $h \in W$ . We take  $t_{k-1} = m_k$  for  $k \geq 1$ . Trivially, the sequence  $\{t_{k-1} : 1 \leq k < \omega\}$  is strictly increasing and  $h \in E_{k-1, t_{k-1}}(\mathcal{F})$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . Thus,  $W \subset E(\mathcal{F})$ ; that is,  $E(\mathcal{F})$  is open.

Now, let  $w \notin E(\mathcal{F})$ . There exists  $k_0 < \omega$  such that for every  $m$  there are  $i_m > m$  and  $x_{i_m} \in F_{i_m}$  such that  $w(x_{i_m}) \notin [-\frac{1}{2^{i_m+k_0}}, \frac{1}{2^{i_m+k_0}}]$ . We take  $A \subset \omega$  for which  $\{i_m : m \in A\} = \{i_m : m < \omega\}$  and for all  $n, m \in A$  with  $n \neq m$ ,  $i_m \neq i_n$ . For each  $m \in A$ , let  $V_{i_m}$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $w(x_{i_m}) \in V_{i_m}$  and  $V_{i_m} \cap [-\frac{1}{2^{i_m+k_0}}, \frac{1}{2^{i_m+k_0}}] = \emptyset$ . Take  $O$  as the open box defined by  $O(x_{i_m}) = V_{i_m}$  for each  $m \in A$ , and  $O(x) = \mathbb{R}$  if  $x \notin \{x_{i_m} : m \in A\}$ . It happens that  $w \in O$  and  $O \subset \square\mathbb{R}^Y \setminus E(\mathcal{F})$ ; so,  $E(\mathcal{F})$  is closed.

Now, let  $f, g \in E(\mathcal{F})$ . Take two sequences  $\{m_k : k < \omega\}$  and  $\{l_k : k < \omega\}$  satisfying: for all  $k < \omega$  and for all  $i > m_k$ , if  $x \in F_i$  then  $f(x) \in [-\frac{1}{2^{i+k}}, \frac{1}{2^{i+k}}]$ , and for all  $j > l_k$ , if  $y \in F_j$  then  $g(y) \in [-\frac{1}{2^{j+k}}, \frac{1}{2^{j+k}}]$ . We take  $t_{k-1} = \max\{m_k, l_k\}$  for  $k \geq 1$ . We have that  $f - g \in E_{k-1, t_{k-1}}(\mathcal{F})$  for all  $k \geq 1$ . Therefore,  $f - g \in E(\mathcal{F})$  and, since  $\hat{0} \in E(\mathcal{F})$ , we conclude that  $E(\mathcal{F})$  is a topological subgroup of  $\square\mathbb{R}^Y$ .  $\square$

We need to introduce the following definition which relativizes the concept of almost- $\omega$ -resolvability.

**1.4. Definition.** Let  $X$  be a topological space, and let  $A$  and  $B$  be subsets of  $X$ . We say that  $A$  is *almost- $\omega$ -resolvable with respect to  $B$*  (briefly:  $A$  is *a- $\omega$ -rwrt $B$* ), if there is a partition  $\{F_n : n < \omega\}$  of  $A$ , such that for every open subset  $O$  of  $X$  which has a non-empty intersection with  $B$ ,  $|\{n : F_n \cap O \neq \emptyset\}| = \aleph_0$ . Such a partition is called a *resolution of  $A$  with respect to  $B$* .

In the following proposition we emphasize the relation between the concepts just defined and the structure of  $C_{\square}(X)$ . Recall that  $A_{\hat{x}}(X)$  is closed in  $C_{\square}(X)$  for all  $\hat{x} \in \hat{C}(X_1)$ . First, a technical result.

**1.5. Lemma.** Let  $S$  and  $T$  be two subsets of a topological space  $Y$ . If  $S$  is *a- $\omega$ -rwrt $T$* ,  $\{F_n : n < \omega\}$  is a resolution of  $S$  with respect to  $T$ ,  $g \in C(Y)$  and  $O_g$  is the open box constituted by those elements  $f$  in  $C(Y)$  such that  $f(x) \in (g(x) - \frac{1}{2^n}, g(x) + \frac{1}{2^n})$  if  $x \in F_n$ , then  $g \upharpoonright T = h \upharpoonright T$  holds for every  $h \in O_g$ .

*Proof.* Assume that there are  $h \in O_g$  and  $z \in T$  such that  $0 < |h(z) - g(z)| = \epsilon$ . Since  $g$  and  $h$  are continuous, we can take an element  $V$  in  $\mathcal{N}(z)$ , the system of neighborhoods of  $z$ , such that  $g(V) \subset (g(z) - \frac{\epsilon}{3}, g(z) + \frac{\epsilon}{3})$  and  $h(V) \subset (h(z) - \frac{\epsilon}{3}, h(z) + \frac{\epsilon}{3})$ . There is  $x \in S$  such that  $x \in F_n \cap V$  for an  $n \in \omega$  such that  $\frac{1}{2^n} < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ . For this  $x$ ,  $|h(x) - g(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ ,  $|h(z) - h(x)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$  and  $|g(x) - g(z)| < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ ; so,  $|h(z) - g(z)| < \epsilon$ , a contradiction. Then,  $h(z) = g(z)$  for every  $z \in T$ .  $\square$

**1.6. Proposition.** A space  $X$  is *a- $\omega$ -rwrt $X_1$*  if and only if  $A_{\hat{0}}(X)$  is an open subset of  $C_{\square}(X)$ .

*Proof.* That  $A_{\hat{0}}(X)$  is open in  $C_{\square}(X)$  is a consequence of Lemma 1.5; we just have to take  $X = S$  and  $X_1 = T$ .

Now, let us assume that  $A_{\hat{0}}(X)$  is an open subset of  $C_{\square}(X)$ . Since the function  $\hat{0}$ , which is equal to 0 everywhere, belongs to  $A_{\hat{0}}(X)$ , for each  $x \in X$  there exists an open subset  $G_x$  of  $\mathbb{R}$  such that  $\hat{0} \in (\prod_{x \in X} G_x) \cap C(X) \subset A_{\hat{0}}(X)$ . We define  $d(x) = \min\{n < \omega : (-\frac{1}{2^n}, \frac{1}{2^n}) \subset G_x\}$  and  $F_n = \{x \in X : d(x) = n\}$ . It is clear that  $\{F_n : n < \omega\}$  is a partition of  $X$ .

We will prove that  $\{F_n : n < \omega\}$  is a resolution for  $X$  with respect to  $X_1$ . Assume the contrary; that is, there are  $z \in X_1$ , an open  $V \in \mathcal{N}(z)$  and  $n_0 < \omega$  satisfying  $V \cap F_n = \emptyset$  for

every  $n > n_0$ . Let  $H : X \rightarrow [0, \frac{1}{2^{n_0+1}}]$  be a continuous function for which  $H(X \setminus V) \subset \{0\}$  and  $H(z) = \frac{1}{2^{n_0+1}}$ . If  $x \in V$ , then  $d(x) \leq n_0$ . So, for every  $x \in V$  we have  $\frac{1}{2^{n_0+1}} < \frac{1}{2^{d(x)}}$ , and  $H(x) \leq \frac{1}{2^{n_0+1}}$ . Thus, for every  $x \in V$ ,  $H(x) \in (-\frac{1}{2^{d(x)}}, \frac{1}{2^{d(x)}}) \subset G_x$ . On the other hand, if  $x \in X \setminus V$ ,  $H(x) = 0 \in G_x$ . So  $H \in (\prod_{x \in X} G_x) \cap C(X) \subset A_{\hat{0}}(X)$ . Hence,  $H(z) = 0$  which is a contradiction. We conclude that  $\{F_n : n < \omega\}$  is a resolution of  $X$  with respect to  $X_1$ .  $\square$

As a consequence of Propositions 1.1 and 1.6, we obtain:

**1.7. Corollary.** *Let  $X$  be  $a$ - $\omega$ - $rwrtX_1$ . Then,*

- (1) *for each  $\hat{x} \in \hat{C}(X_1)$ ,  $A_{\hat{x}}(X)$  is a clopen subset of  $C_{\square}(X)$ , and*
- (2)  *$C_{\square}(X) = \bigoplus_{\hat{x} \in \hat{C}(X_1)} A_{\hat{x}}(X) \simeq \bigoplus_{\hat{x} \in \hat{C}(X_1)} (A_{\hat{0}}(X))_{\hat{x}}$  where each  $(A_{\hat{0}}(X))_{\hat{x}}$  is a copy of  $A_{\hat{0}}(X)$ .*

If  $X_0$  is  $a$ - $\omega$ - $rwrtX_1$ , then  $X$  is  $a$ - $\omega$ - $rwrtX_1$ , and there exists a space  $X$  which is  $a$ - $\omega$ - $rwrtX_1$  and  $X_0$  is not  $a$ - $\omega$ - $rwrtX_1$  (see an example in the paragraph before Problem 2.8). From now on, for a *complete minimal system of representatives of the cosets belonging to a quotient space  $X/\sim$*  we will understand a subset  $J$  of  $X$  such that  $X/\sim = \bigcup_{x \in J} [x]$  and  $[x] \neq [y]$  for each pair  $x, y$  of different elements in  $J$ , where  $[x]$  is the class of equivalence of  $x$  related to the equivalence relation  $\sim$ . It is not difficult to prove the following result.

**1.8. Proposition.** *If  $X_1$  is almost- $\omega$ -resolvable, then  $X$  is  $a$ - $\omega$ - $rwrtX_1$ .*

**1.9. Remark.** For a partition  $\mathcal{F}$  of the set  $X_0$  of isolated points of a space  $X$ , we can consider the clopen topological subgroup  $E(\mathcal{F})$  of  $\square \mathbb{R}^X$ , as was defined before Proposition 1.3. For each  $f, g \in A_{\hat{0}}(X)$ ,  $(E(\mathcal{F}) \cap A_{\hat{0}}(X)) + f$  and  $(E(\mathcal{F}) \cap A_{\hat{0}}(X)) + g$  are clopen topologically isomorphic subgroups of  $A_{\hat{0}}(X)$ . So, for a complete minimal system  $D_1$  of representatives of the cosets belonging to the quotient group  $A_{\hat{0}}(X)/[E(\mathcal{F}) \cap A_{\hat{0}}(X)]$ , we have  $A_{\hat{0}}(X) = \bigoplus_{f \in D_1} [E(\mathcal{F}) \cap A_{\hat{0}}(X)] + f$ .

So, we obtain:

**1.10. Proposition.** *If  $X$  is  $a$ - $\omega$ - $rwrtX_1$ ,  $\mathcal{F}$  is a resolution of  $X$  with respect to  $X_1$  and  $D_1$  is a complete minimal system of representatives of the cosets belonging to the quotient  $A_{\hat{0}}(X)/[E(\mathcal{F}) \cap A_{\hat{0}}(X)]$ , then*

$$C_{\square}(X) \simeq \bigoplus_{\hat{x} \in \hat{C}(X_1), f \in D_1} [E(\mathcal{F}) \cap A_{\hat{0}}(X)]_{\hat{x}, f},$$

where each  $(E(\mathcal{F}) \cap A_{\hat{0}}(X))_{\hat{x}, f}$  is a copy of  $E(\mathcal{F}) \cap A_{\hat{0}}(X)$ .

Now we are going to give some results about box products and their  $\sigma$ -products which will be useful for our purposes. The important role which the  $\sigma$ -products play in the general study of box products was emphasized in [NyP].

As usual, for a topological space  $X$ ,  $l(X)$ ,  $d(X)$ ,  $c(X)$  and  $e(X)$  denote the Lindelöf number, density, cellularity and extent of  $X$ , respectively (see [H] for definitions).

For a family  $\{X_{\alpha} : \alpha \in A\}$  of topological spaces and  $x \in \prod_{\alpha \in A} X_{\alpha} = X$ , let  $\sigma_x$  be the  $\sigma$ -product of  $X$ ; that is,  $\sigma_x = \{y \in X : |\{\alpha \in A : y(\alpha) \neq x(\alpha)\}| < \aleph_0\}$ . We denote by  $\sigma_x^{\square}(\prod_{\alpha \in A} X_{\alpha})$  (or simply,  $\sigma_x^{\square}$ ) the set  $\sigma_x$  with the topology inherited from  $\prod_{\alpha \in A} X_{\alpha}$ .

The following result is due to M.E. Rudin ([Ru3] pag. 55).

**1.11. Proposition.** *Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal number, and let  $\{X_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$  be a family of connected Tychonoff spaces. If  $x \in \square_{\alpha < \kappa} X_\alpha$  and  $C_x$  is the connected component of  $x$  in  $\square_{\alpha < \kappa} X_\alpha$ , then  $C_x = \sigma_x$ .*

**1.12. Proposition.** *For each infinite cardinal number  $\kappa$  and every  $x \in \square \mathbb{R}^\kappa$ ,*

$$l(\sigma_x \square \mathbb{R}^\kappa) = e(\sigma_x \square \mathbb{R}^\kappa) = d(\sigma_x \square \mathbb{R}^\kappa) = c(\sigma_x \square \mathbb{R}^\kappa) = \kappa.$$

*Proof.* For each  $J \in [\kappa]^{< \aleph_0} = \{A \subset \kappa : |A| < \aleph_0\}$ , let  $H_J \subset \square \mathbb{R}^\kappa$  be the box defined by  $H_J(\alpha) = \mathbb{R}$  if  $\alpha \in J$  and  $H_J(\alpha) = \{x(\alpha)\}$  if  $\alpha \notin J$ . The set  $H_J$  with the box topology is homeomorphic to  $T\mathbb{R}^J$ , which is a Lindelöf space. Thus, for each open cover  $\mathcal{C}$  of  $\sigma_x \square \mathbb{R}^\kappa$ , and for each  $J \in [\kappa]^{< \aleph_0}$ , we can select a countable subfamily  $\mathcal{C}_J$  of  $\mathcal{C}$  such that  $H_J \subset \bigcup \mathcal{C}_J$ . Since  $\sigma_x \square \mathbb{R}^\kappa = \bigcup_{J \in [\kappa]^{< \aleph_0}} H_J$ , then  $\mathcal{D} = \bigcup_{J \in [\kappa]^{< \aleph_0}} \mathcal{C}_J$  is a subcollection of  $\mathcal{C}$  which covers  $\sigma_x \square \mathbb{R}^\kappa$  and has cardinality  $\leq \kappa$ . So,  $l(\sigma_x \square \mathbb{R}^\kappa) \leq \kappa$ .

Now, for each  $\delta < \kappa$ , we take  $z_\delta \in \mathbb{R} \setminus \{x(\delta)\}$ . We define for each  $\alpha < \kappa$

$$t_\alpha(\delta) = \begin{cases} z_\delta & \text{if } \alpha = \delta, \\ x(\delta) & \text{if } \alpha \neq \delta. \end{cases}$$

The subset  $D = \{t_\alpha : \alpha < \kappa\}$  of  $\sigma_x \square \mathbb{R}^\kappa$  is closed and discrete. We conclude that  $\kappa \leq e(\sigma_x \square \mathbb{R}^\kappa) \leq l(\sigma_x \square \mathbb{R}^\kappa) \leq \kappa$ .

Now, we are going to make some calculations in order to obtain the density of  $\sigma_x \square \mathbb{R}^\kappa$ . For each  $J \in [\kappa]^{< \aleph_0}$ , we have that  $d(T\mathbb{R}^J) = \aleph_0$ . So, for each  $J \in [\kappa]^{< \aleph_0}$ , there exists  $D_J \subset H_J$  which is countable and dense in  $H_J$ . Thus, the set  $D = \bigcup_{J \in [\kappa]^{< \aleph_0}} D_J$  is dense in  $\sigma_x \square \mathbb{R}^\kappa$ . Since  $|D| \leq \kappa$ ,  $d(\sigma_x \square \mathbb{R}^\kappa) \leq \kappa$ .

Let  $A$  be a subset of  $\sigma_x \square \mathbb{R}^\kappa$  with cardinality  $< \kappa$ . For each  $a \in A$ , let  $J_a \in [\kappa]^{< \aleph_0}$  be such that  $a \in H_{J_a}$ . We take  $T = \bigcup_{a \in A} J_a$ . We have that  $|T| \leq |A| < \kappa$ . Let  $\alpha_0$  be an element of  $\kappa \setminus T$  and  $O = \prod_{\alpha < \kappa} O_\alpha$  where  $O_\alpha = \mathbb{R}$  if  $\alpha \neq \alpha_0$ , and  $O_{\alpha_0}$  is an open subset of  $\mathbb{R}$  which does not contain  $x(\alpha_0)$ . It is clear that  $A \cap O = \emptyset$ ; then  $A$  cannot be dense in  $\sigma_x \square \mathbb{R}^\kappa$ . So, we can conclude that  $d(\sigma_x \square \mathbb{R}^\kappa) = \kappa$ .  $\square$

**1.13. Corollary.** *Let  $\kappa$  and  $\tau$  be infinite cardinal numbers. Then,  $\square \mathbb{R}^\kappa \simeq \square \mathbb{R}^\tau$  if and only if  $\square \mathbb{R}^\kappa \cong \square \mathbb{R}^\tau$ , if and only if  $\kappa = \tau$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\psi : \square \mathbb{R}^\kappa \rightarrow \square \mathbb{R}^\tau$  be a homeomorphism, and let  $x \in \square \mathbb{R}^\kappa$ . By Proposition 1.11, we must have  $\sigma_x \square \mathbb{R}^\kappa \cong \sigma_{\psi(x)} \square \mathbb{R}^\tau$ . Now, Proposition 1.12 produces  $\kappa = \tau$ .  $\square$

We will prove something more general than this corollary in Section 3 (see Corollary 3.4).

In order to describe  $C_\square(X)$ , it will be convenient to keep in mind some results concerning the cardinality of  $C(X)$ . The following result was proved by W.W. Comfort and A.W. Hager in [CH].

**1.14. Proposition.** *For a space  $X$ ,  $|C(X)| = w(\beta X)^\omega$ .*

2. SPACES  $C_{\square}(X)$  WHEN  $X_0$  IS AN  $F_{\sigma}$ -SET AND  $Z = \emptyset$ 

Recall that for a space  $X$  we are denoting by  $X_0$  its subset of isolated points, and  $X_1$  is equal to  $X \setminus X_0$ . In this section we will analyze spaces  $C_{\square}(X)$  when  $X_0$  is an  $F_{\sigma}$ -subset of  $X$  and  $\emptyset \neq X_1 \subset cl_X X_0$ . Some examples of spaces having these characteristics are: the convergent sequence  $\{0\} \cup \{1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\} \subset \mathbb{R}$ , the Stone-Ćech compactification  $\beta\omega$  of the natural numbers, every Mrówka-Isbell space (or  $\Psi$ -space, see [GJ])  $\Psi(\mathcal{A})$ , and the countable Fréchet-Urysohn fan  $V(\aleph_0)$  ([Ar]). The main result of this section (Theorem 2.4) proclaims that for every space  $X$  satisfying these properties, its related space of real-valued continuous functions  $C_{\square}(X)$  is a box product of real lines.

Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n : n < \omega\}$  be a partition of  $X_0$ . We denote simply by  $E(\mathcal{F})$  the subset of  $\square\mathbb{R}^X$  as was constructed before Proposition 1.3, when  $Y = X$  and  $S = X_0$ ; and we denote by  $E_0(\mathcal{F})$  the subset of  $\square\mathbb{R}^{X_0}$  obtained in a similar way but when  $Y = S = X_0$ .

The following lemma describes the relation between  $E(\mathcal{F})$  and  $E_0(\mathcal{F})$  for a partition  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $X_0$ .

**2.1. Lemma.** *Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n : n < \omega\}$  be a partition of  $X_0$ , where each  $F_n$  is a closed subset of  $X$ . Then,  $\phi' = \pi_{X_0} \upharpoonright (E(\mathcal{F}) \cap A_{\hat{0}}(X)) : E(\mathcal{F}) \cap A_{\hat{0}}(X) \longrightarrow E_0(\mathcal{F})$  is a topological isomorphism.*

*Proof.* Because of Lemma 1.2 and the fact that  $E(\mathcal{F})$  is open, we conclude that  $\phi'$  is an embedding, and obviously  $\phi'[E(\mathcal{F}) \cap A_{\hat{0}}(X)] \subset E_0(\mathcal{F})$ . The only part of the proof not entirely trivial refers to the surjection of  $\phi'$ . Let  $h \in E_0(\mathcal{F})$ , and let  $h' \in \mathbb{R}^X$  with  $h' \upharpoonright X_0 = h$  and  $h'(z) = 0$  for every  $z \in X_1$ ; then,  $h' \in A_{\hat{0}}(X)$ . In fact, let  $z \in X_1$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ , and let  $\{m_k < \omega : k < \omega\}$  be a strictly increasing sequence of natural numbers such that  $h \in E_0^{k, m_k}$  for all  $k < \omega$ . Let us take  $k > 0$  such that  $\frac{1}{2^{m_k}} < \epsilon$ . The set  $X \setminus (\cup_{i \leq m_k} F_i)$  is a neighborhood of  $z$ ,  $h'(X \setminus (\cup_{i \leq m_k} F_i)) \subset (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$  and  $h'(z) = 0 \in (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ . We conclude that  $h' \in A_{\hat{0}}(X)$ . It is clear that  $h' \in E(\mathcal{F})$  and  $\phi'(h') = h$ .  $\square$

We define a relation of equivalence  $\sim$  on  $\mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ : for  $f, g \in \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ ,  $f \sim g$  if and only if for each  $\epsilon > 0$  and each  $z \in X_1$ , there exists  $V \in \mathcal{N}(z)$  such that  $(f - g)[V \cap X_0] \subset (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ . For each  $f \in \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ ,  $[f]$  is the  $\sim$ -class of equivalence of  $f$ .

**2.2. Lemma.** *The relation  $\sim$  is a relation of equivalence, and for  $f, g \in \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ , if  $f - g \in E_0(\mathcal{F})$ , then  $f \sim g$ .*

*Proof.* It is easy to confirm that  $\sim$  is of equivalence. Now, assume that there are  $z_0 \in X_1$  and  $\epsilon_0 > 0$  such that for each  $V \in \mathcal{N}(z_0)$ , there is  $x_V \in V \cap X_0$  such that  $(f - g)(x_V) \notin (-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0)$ . Let  $k < \omega$  be such that  $\frac{1}{2^k} < \epsilon_0$ . Fix a  $m < \omega$ . It is clear that  $V_1 = (X \setminus \cup_{i \leq m} F_i) \in \mathcal{N}(z_0)$ . Let  $x_{V_1} \in V_1 \cap X_0$  satisfying  $(f - g)(x_{V_1}) \notin (-\epsilon_0, \epsilon_0)$ . In particular, there exist  $i_m > m$ , such that  $x_{V_1} \in F_{i_m}$ . Hence,  $(f - g)(x_{V_1}) \notin [-\frac{1}{2^{i_m+k}}, \frac{1}{2^{i_m+k}}]$ ; that is,  $f - g \notin E_0(\mathcal{F})$ .  $\square$

In order to prove the main result of this section (Theorem 2.4) we are next going to prove a proposition which is apparently less general.

**2.3. Proposition.** *Assume that a space  $X$  satisfies:*

- (1)  $\emptyset \neq X_1 \subset cl_X X_0$ ,
- (2) there is a partition  $\{F_n : n < \omega\}$  of  $X_0$  constituted by closed subsets of  $X$ , and
- (3) there is a partition  $\{C_{\alpha} \subset X_0 : \alpha < |X_0|\}$  of  $X_0$  such that
  - (a)  $|C_{\alpha} \cap F_n| \leq 1$  for each  $\alpha < |X_0|$  and each  $n < \omega$ , and
  - (b)  $J_{\alpha} = \{n < \omega : C_{\alpha} \cap F_n \neq \emptyset\}$  is infinite for each  $\alpha < |X_0|$ .

Then,  $A_{\widehat{0}}(X) \cong \square\mathbb{R}^{X_0} \cong C_{\square}(X)$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n : n < \omega\}$  be a partition of  $X_0$  which testifies (2) and (3) in this proposition. Let  $D_0 \subset \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$  be a minimal complete system of representatives of the cosets in  $\square\mathbb{R}^{X_0}/E_0(\mathcal{F})$ , and let  $D_1 \subset A_{\widehat{0}}(X)$  be a complete minimal system of representatives of  $A_{\widehat{0}}(X)/[A_{\widehat{0}}(X) \cap E(\mathcal{F})]$ . By Proposition 1.3, Remark 1.9 and Lemma 2.1, we have that (a)  $\square\mathbb{R}^{X_0} = \bigoplus_{f \in D_0} (E_0(\mathcal{F}) + f)$  and (b)  $C_{\square}(X) \simeq \bigoplus_{\widehat{x} \in \widehat{C}(X_1), f \in D_1} ([A_{\widehat{0}}(X) \cap E(\mathcal{F})] + f)_{\widehat{x}}$ , where each term that appears in the sum in (a) is topologically isomorphic to each term that appears in the sum in (b).

Because of the definitions of  $E_0(\mathcal{F})$  and  $E(\mathcal{F})$ , we have that for  $f, g \in A_{\widehat{0}}(X)$ ,  $f - g \in E(\mathcal{F})$  if and only if  $(f \upharpoonright X_0) - (g \upharpoonright X_0) \in E_0(\mathcal{F})$ . For this reason  $|D_1| \leq |D_0|$ .

We are going to prove now that  $|\widehat{C}(X_1)| \leq |D_0|$ . Let  $\mathbb{R}^{X_0}/\sim$  be the quotient set determined by the relation  $\sim$  defined before Lemma 2.2. The relation  $H : \widehat{C}(X_1) \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}^{X_0}/\sim$  which sends each  $\widehat{x}$  to  $[f_{\widehat{x}} \upharpoonright X_0]$  (where  $f_{\widehat{x}}$  is an element of  $A_{\widehat{x}}(X)$ ) is a well defined injective function. So,  $|\widehat{C}(X_1)| \leq |\mathbb{R}^{X_0}/\sim|$ . Now, using Lemma 2.2 we obtain  $|\widehat{C}(X_1)| \leq |D_0|$ .

Hence, we have already proved that  $|\widehat{C}(X_1)| \cdot |D_1| \leq |D_0|$ . Now we are going to prove that in fact  $|\widehat{C}(X_1)| \cdot |D_1|$  is equal to  $|D_0|$ .

Recall that we are assuming that  $X$  has properties (1), (2) and (3) listed in our proposition. For each  $\alpha < |X_0|$ , we can enumerate  $C_\alpha$  as  $\{x_{\alpha,n} : n \in J_\alpha\}$  in such a way that for every  $n \in J_\alpha$ ,  $x_{\alpha,n} \in F_n$ . So, for each  $A \in \mathcal{P}(|X_0|)$ , we define  $f_A \in \mathbb{R}^X$  as:

$$f_A(y) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^n} & \text{if } y = x_{\alpha,n}, \alpha \in A \text{ and } n \in J_\alpha, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Observe that  $f_A \in A_{\widehat{0}}(X)$ . Moreover, for every two different subsets  $A, B \in \mathcal{P}(|X_0|)$ ,  $f_A - f_B \notin E(\mathcal{F})$  because of condition (3). Therefore, the function

$$T : \mathcal{P}(|X_0|) \longrightarrow A_{\widehat{0}}(X)/A_{\widehat{0}}(X) \cap E(\mathcal{F})$$

where  $T(A) = A_{\widehat{0}}(X) \cap E(\mathcal{F}) + f_A$ , is injective. We conclude that  $2^{|X_0|} \leq |D_1|$ . Since  $|D_0| \leq 2^{|X_0|}$ ,  $|D_0| = |\widehat{C}(X_1)| \cdot |D_1|$ .

Therefore,  $A_{\widehat{0}}(X) \cong \square\mathbb{R}^{X_0} \cong C_{\square}(X)$ .  $\square$

Next, the main result of this section.

**2.4. Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a space such that  $X_0$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -subset of  $X$  and  $\emptyset \neq X_1 \subset cl_X X_0$ . Then,*

$$A_{\widehat{0}}(X) \cong C_{\square}(X) \cong \square\mathbb{R}^{X_0}.$$

*Proof.* In order to prove this theorem we only have to construct two partitions  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n : n < \omega\}$  and  $\mathcal{C} = \{C_\alpha : \alpha < |X_0|\}$  of  $X_0$  satisfying (2) and (3) in Proposition 2.3.

Since  $X_0$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -subset of  $X$ , there is a disjoint family  $\mathcal{E} = \{E_i : i < \omega\}$  of closed subsets of  $X$  covering  $X_0$ .

First case: For each  $i < \omega$ ,  $|E_i| < \aleph_0$ .

In this case, we can modify the partition  $\mathcal{E}$  in such a way that we obtain a new partition  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_i : i < \omega\}$  of  $X_0$  constituted by closed subsets of  $X$  with  $|F_i| = 1$ . We name  $x_n$  the only element belonging to  $F_n$  for each  $n < \omega$ . Now, we take a partition  $A_0, A_1, \dots, A_n, \dots$  of

$\omega$  where each  $A_i$  is infinite. We define  $C_k = \{x_n : n \in A_k\}$  for each  $k < \omega$ . The collections  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\{C_k : k < \omega\}$  satisfy (2) and (3) in Proposition 2.3.

Second case: There is an infinite subset of natural numbers  $J$  such that, for each  $n \in J$ ,  $|E_n| \geq \aleph_0$ .

In this case, we modify partition  $\mathcal{E}$  in such a way that we obtain a partition  $\{H_i : i < \omega\}$  of  $X_0$  of closed subsets of  $X$  with  $|H_i| \geq \aleph_0$  for every  $i < \omega$ . Now, let  $\kappa_i$  be the cardinality of  $H_i$  for each  $i < \omega$ . Let us list  $H_i$  as  $\{x_i^\lambda : \lambda < \kappa_i\}$  with  $x_i^\lambda \neq x_i^\xi$  if  $\lambda \neq \xi$ . Of course  $|X_0| = \kappa = \sup\{\kappa_i : i < \omega\}$ . For each  $\delta < \kappa$ , we take  $G_\delta = \{x_i^\delta : \kappa_i > \delta\}$  and  $T = \{\delta < \kappa : |G_\delta| = \aleph_0\}$ .

If  $\kappa \setminus T = \emptyset$ , then  $\{H_n : n < \omega\}$  and  $\{G_\delta : \delta < \kappa\}$  satisfy the requirements.

Now, assume that  $\kappa \setminus T \neq \emptyset$ . For each  $\delta \in \kappa \setminus T$ ,  $G_\delta$  is a finite set  $\{x_{s_1}^\delta, \dots, x_{s_t}^\delta\}$ . We denote by  $M_\delta$  the set of natural numbers  $\{s_1, \dots, s_t\}$  related with  $G_\delta$ . Let  $\delta_0$  be the first element of  $\kappa \setminus T$ .

**Claim:** For each  $\delta \in \kappa \setminus T$ ,  $M_\delta \subset M_{\delta_0}$ .

Indeed, let  $\delta$  be an element of  $\kappa \setminus T$  and  $G_\delta = \{x_{s_1}^\delta, \dots, x_{s_t}^\delta\}$ . By definition of  $G_\delta$ , we have that  $\delta < \kappa_{s_l}$  for every  $l \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ . Since  $\delta_0 \leq \delta$ ,  $\delta_0 < \kappa_{s_l}$  for each  $l \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ . Then, for each  $l \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ ,  $x_{s_l}^{\delta_0} \in G_{\delta_0}$ ; that is,  $s_l \in M_{\delta_0}$  for every  $l \in \{1, \dots, t\}$ . We conclude that  $M_\delta \subset M_{\delta_0}$ .

Let  $n_0$  be equal to the greatest element in  $M_{\delta_0}$ , and let us call  $H$  the set  $\bigcup_{i < n_0} H_i$ . We partition  $H$  in a family of infinite countable subsets:  $H = \bigcup_{\lambda < |H|} D_\lambda$ ,  $|D_\lambda| = \aleph_0$  for all  $\lambda < |H|$ , and  $D_\lambda \cap D_\xi = \emptyset$  for every  $\lambda, \xi < |H|$  with  $\lambda \neq \xi$ . For each  $\lambda < |H|$  we enumerate the elements of  $D_\lambda$  as  $\{z_1^\lambda, z_2^\lambda, \dots, z_n^\lambda, \dots\}$  in such a way that  $z_j^\lambda \neq z_i^\lambda$  if  $j \neq i$ .

We take  $\mathcal{C}$  as the family  $\{\tilde{G}_\delta : \delta \in T\} \cup \{D_\lambda : \lambda < |H|\}$ , where, for each  $\delta \in T$ ,  $\tilde{G}_\delta = \{x_i^\delta \in G_\delta : i > n_0\}$ .

Now, we are going to define the family  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $F_1 = H_{n_0+1} \cup \{z_1^\lambda : \lambda < |H|\}, \dots, F_k = H_{n_0+k} \cup \{z_k^\lambda : \lambda < |H|\}, \dots$

It is not difficult now to prove that families  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfy properties (2) and (3) in Proposition 2.3.

Third case: There is  $n_0 < \omega$  such that  $\{n < \omega : |E_n| \geq \aleph_0\} \subset \{0, \dots, n_0\}$ .

In this case, we can modify partition  $\mathcal{E}$  in such a way that we obtain a new partition  $\{H_i : i < \omega\}$  of  $X_0$  with each  $H_i$  closed in  $X$ ,  $|H_0| \geq \aleph_0$  and  $|H_n| = 1$  for every  $n > 0$ ; say,  $H_n = \{x_n\}$  for each  $n > 0$ .

We partition  $H_0$  in a family of infinite countable subsets:  $H_0 = \bigcup_{\lambda < |H_0|} D_\lambda$ ,  $|D_\lambda| = \aleph_0$  for all  $\lambda < |H_0|$ , and  $D_\lambda \cap D_\xi = \emptyset$  for every  $\lambda, \xi < |H_0|$  with  $\lambda \neq \xi$ . For each  $\lambda < |H_0|$  we enumerate the elements of  $D_\lambda$  as  $\{z_1^\lambda, z_2^\lambda, \dots, z_n^\lambda, \dots\}$  in such a way that  $z_j^\lambda \neq z_i^\lambda$  if  $j \neq i$ .

We take  $\mathcal{C}$  as the family  $\{\mathcal{C}\} \cup \{D_\lambda : \lambda < |H_0|\}$ , where  $\mathcal{C} = \{x_n : n > 0\}$ .

Now, we are going to define the family  $\mathcal{F}$ . Let  $F_1 = H_1 \cup \{z_1^\lambda : \lambda < |H_0|\}, \dots, F_k = H_k \cup \{z_k^\lambda : \lambda < |H_0|\}, \dots$

The families  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\mathcal{C}$  satisfy properties (2) and (3) in Proposition 2.3.

We have already finished the proof.  $\square$

## 2.5. Examples.

- (1) If the set  $X_0$  of isolated points of a topological space  $X$  is countable (in particular, if  $X$  has countable cellularity) and  $\emptyset \neq X \setminus X_0 = X_1 \subset cl_X X_0$ , then  $C_{\square}(X) \cong \square \mathbb{R}^{\aleph_0}$ .

We then have  $C_{\square}(\beta\omega) \cong \square\mathbb{R}^{\omega}$ . More generally, if  $\kappa$  is an infinite cardinal number of countable cofinality, and  $Y$  is a subset of uniform ultrafilters on  $\kappa$ , then  $Y \subset cl_{\kappa \cup Y} \kappa$  and  $(\kappa \cup Y)_1 = Y$  is a  $G_{\delta}$  subset of  $\kappa \cup Y$ ; so,  $C_{\square}(\kappa \cup Y) \cong \square\mathbb{R}^{\kappa}$ . Also, for every almost disjoint family  $\mathcal{A}$  of  $\omega$ ,  $C_{\square}(\Psi(\mathcal{A})) \cong \square\mathbb{R}^{\omega}$ .

- (2) Of course, if  $X$  is perfect,  $X_0$  is  $F_{\sigma}$  in  $X$ ; so, spaces of a wide class fulfill the conditions in Theorem 2.4. In particular, if  $X$  is metrizable (or even semi-stratifiable or developable) and  $\emptyset \neq X_1 \subset cl_X X_0$ , then  $C_{\square}(X) \cong \square\mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ . In particular, for every countable ordinal number  $\alpha$ ,  $C_{\square}([0, \alpha]) \cong \square\mathbb{R}^{\omega}$ . On the other hand,  $[0, \omega_1)$  is a locally compact first countable orderable space such that its subset of isolated points is not an  $F_{\sigma}$ -subset, and the Michael line  $M$  is an hereditarily paracompact quasi-developable space such that  $M_0 = \{\text{irrational numbers}\}$  is not an  $F_{\sigma}$ -subset of  $M$ .
- (3) A way to obtain examples of spaces  $X$  which satisfy the conditions in Theorem 2.4, now that we have mentioned the Michael line, is the following: Take a dense-in-itself non-countable separable space  $(X, \mathcal{T})$ . Let  $Q$  be a countable dense subset of  $X$ . We define a new space  $(X_Q, \mathcal{T}_Q)$  as follows: let  $X_Q = X$  and  $B \in \mathcal{T}_Q$  if and only if  $B = C \cup D$  with  $C \in \mathcal{T}$  and  $D \subset Q$  (see [E], p. 306). The space  $X_Q$  satisfies conditions in Theorem 2.4, so  $C_{\square}(X_Q) \cong \square\mathbb{R}^{\omega}$ . Observe that  $(X_Q)_0 = Q$  and  $(X_Q)_1 = X \setminus Q$ .
- (4) Let  $Y$  be a space with  $iw(Y) = \aleph_0$ . So  $C_p(Y)$  is a dense-in-itself non-countable separable space ([Ar]). Let  $Q$  be a countable dense subset of  $C_p(Y)$ . Because of the previous example we obtain:  $C_{\square}(C_p(Y)_Q) \cong \square\mathbb{R}^{\omega}$ . When  $Y = \mathbb{R}$ , the set  $Q$  of polynomials with rational coefficients is a countable dense subset of  $C_p(\mathbb{R})$ . Then,  $C_{\square}(C_p(\mathbb{R})_Q) \cong \square\mathbb{R}^{\omega}$ .

For each  $x \in X$ , we have denoted by  $\mathcal{N}(x)$  the system of neighborhoods of  $x$  in  $X$ . We will use the symbol  $\mathcal{N}_0(x)$  to designate the set  $\{V \cap X_0 : V \in \mathcal{N}(x)\}$ . Observe that if  $x \in cl_X X_0$ ,  $\mathcal{N}_0(x)$  is a filter on  $X_0$ . We are going to obtain a converse of Theorem 2.4 when  $\mathcal{N}_0(x)$  is an ultrafilter for every  $x \in X_1$ . First we prove the following:

**2.6. Proposition.** *If  $X_0$  is an  $F_{\sigma}$ -subset of  $X$ , then  $X_0$  is  $a$ - $\omega$ - $rwrtX^b$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n : n < \omega\}$  be a partition of  $X_0$  constituted by closed subsets of  $X$ . We are going to prove that  $\mathcal{F}$  is a resolution of  $X_0$  with respect to  $X^b$ . Let  $p \in X^b$  and  $V \in \mathcal{N}(p)$ . Since  $p \in cl_X(X_0)$ , then  $V \cap X_0 \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $A = \{n < \omega : F_n \cap V \neq \emptyset\}$ , and assume that there exists  $n_0 < \omega$  such that for all  $n > n_0$ ,  $V \cap F_n = \emptyset$ . We know that  $V \cap (X \setminus \cup_{n \leq n_0} F_n) \in \mathcal{N}(p)$ , but  $V \cap (X \setminus \cup_{n \leq n_0} F_n) \cap X_0 = \emptyset$ , which is not possible. So,  $A$  must be infinite.  $\square$

**2.7. Theorem.** *Let  $\emptyset \neq X_1 \subset cl_X X_0$  and assume that  $\mathcal{N}_0(x)$  is an ultrafilter on  $X_0$  for each  $x \in X_1$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $X_0$  is  $F_{\sigma}$  in  $X$ .
- (2)  $X_0$  is  $a$ - $\omega$ - $rwrtX_1$ .
- (3)  $C_{\square}(X) \cong \square\mathbb{R}^{X_0}$  and  $X_0$  is  $a$ - $\omega$ - $rwrtX_1$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): This is a consequence of Proposition 2.6.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $\{F_n : n < \omega\}$  be a resolution of  $X_0$  with respect to  $X_1$ . Let us fix  $n < \omega$ , and suppose that there exists  $x \in cl F_n \cap X_1$ . That is, for each  $V \in \mathcal{N}(x)$ , we have  $V \cap F_n \neq \emptyset$ . Thus, for each  $V \cap X_0 \in \mathcal{N}_0(x)$ ,  $V \cap F_n = (V \cap X_0) \cap F_n \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $\mathcal{N}_0(x)$  is an ultrafilter,

$F_n$  must belong to  $\mathcal{N}_0(x)$ . Let  $V'$  be an element of  $\mathcal{N}(x)$  such that  $F_n = V' \cap X_0$ . Then we obtain  $F_m \cap V' = \emptyset$  when  $n \neq m$ . But this last assertion contradicts our hypotheses. Therefore,  $F_n$  must be closed in  $X$ .

We obtain (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) by Theorem 2.4 and Proposition 2.6, and (3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is trivial.  $\square$

The statement “ $X_0$  is a- $\omega$ -rwrt $X_1$ ” in (2) and (3) in the previous theorem cannot be replaced by the weaker proposition “ $X$  is a- $\omega$ -rwrt $X_1$ ”. In fact, let  $\alpha$  be an infinite cardinal number with uncountable cofinality. Since  $X = \beta\alpha$  is an  $\aleph_0$ -resolvable space,  $X$  is a- $\omega$ -rwrt $X_1$  and  $A_{\hat{0}}(\beta\alpha)$  is open in  $C_{\square}(\beta\alpha)$ . Nevertheless,  $X_0$  is not an  $F_{\sigma}$ -subset of  $X$ .

**2.8. Problem.** Assume that we have the same assumptions given in Theorem 2.7. Suppose also that  $C_{\square}(X) \cong \square\mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ . Is  $X_0$  then a- $\omega$ -rwrt $X_1$ ?

### 3. SPACES $C_{\square}(X)$ WHEN $X_0$ IS AN $F_{\sigma}$ -SUBSET OF $X$

In this section we are going to consider spaces  $C_{\square}(X)$  when the set of isolated points of  $X$ ,  $X_0$ , is an  $F_{\sigma}$ -subset of  $X$  and  $\emptyset \neq X \setminus cl_X X_0 = Z$ . For example, for the subspace  $Y = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : r \leq 0\} \cup \{1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}$ ,  $Y_0 = \{1/n : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$  is an  $F_{\sigma}$ -subset of  $Y$ ,  $Y^b = \{0\}$  and  $Z = \{r \in \mathbb{R} : r < 0\}$ . As usual,  $CN$  is the class of cardinal numbers, and for a cardinal number  $\kappa$ ,  $\kappa^+$  is the minimum cardinal number strictly greater than  $\kappa$ .

Observe that the product space  $E(\kappa) = [0, \omega] \times D(\kappa)$  where  $[0, \omega]$  is the space of ordinals  $\leq \omega$  with its order topology, and  $D(\kappa)$  is the discrete space of cardinality  $\kappa$ , satisfies the conditions in Theorem 2.4. Thus, by Corollary 1.7 and Theorem 2.4, we have

$$\square\mathbb{R}^{\kappa} \cong C_{\square}(E(\kappa)) \cong \bigoplus_{\hat{x} \in C((E(\kappa))_1)} (\square\mathbb{R}^{\kappa})_{\hat{x}}.$$

Since  $(E(\kappa))_1$  coincides with  $D(\kappa)$ , then  $C((E(\kappa))_1) = \mathbb{R}^{\kappa}$ . Furthermore,  $|C((E(\kappa))_1)| = 2^{\kappa}$ . So we have proved:

**3.1. Lemma.** For each infinite cardinal  $\kappa$ ,  $\square\mathbb{R}^{\kappa}$  accepts a partition of  $2^{\kappa}$  clopen subsets, each of them homeomorphic to  $\square\mathbb{R}^{\kappa}$ .

**3.2. Definitions.** A partition  $\mathcal{C}$  of a topological space  $X$  is a *homeomorphic clopen partition* of  $X$  if each element of  $\mathcal{C}$  is clopen and homeomorphic to  $X$ . The *homeomorphic clopen partition number* of  $X$ ,  $hop(X)$ , is the cardinal number  $\min\{\kappa \in CN : \text{there is no homeomorphic clopen partition of } X \text{ of cardinality } \kappa\}$ .

It is easy to see that  $hop(X) \leq |X|^+$  for every space  $X$ .

**3.3. Proposition.** For each infinite cardinal  $\kappa$ ,  $hop(\square\mathbb{R}^{\kappa}) = (2^{\kappa})^+$ .

*Proof.* Because of Lemma 3.1,  $(2^{\kappa})^+ \leq hop(\square\mathbb{R}^{\kappa})$ . Moreover,  $hop(\square\mathbb{R}^{\kappa}) \leq |\square\mathbb{R}^{\kappa}|^+ = (2^{\kappa})^+$ ; so,  $hop(\square\mathbb{R}^{\kappa}) = (2^{\kappa})^+$ .  $\square$

**3.4. Corollary.** Let  $\tau, \gamma$  and  $\kappa$  be infinite cardinals, then

$$\bigoplus_{\alpha < \kappa} (\square\mathbb{R}^{\tau})_{\alpha} \cong \square\mathbb{R}^{\gamma} \Leftrightarrow \kappa \leq 2^{\tau} \text{ and } \gamma = \tau.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $\psi : \bigoplus_{\alpha < \kappa} (\square\mathbb{R}^{\tau})_{\alpha} \longrightarrow \square\mathbb{R}^{\gamma}$  is a homeomorphism. Let  $\alpha < \kappa$  and  $x \in (\square\mathbb{R}^{\tau})_{\alpha}$ . We have that the connected component of  $x$  in  $\bigoplus_{\alpha < \kappa} (\square\mathbb{R}^{\tau})_{\alpha}$ ,  $\sigma_x^{\square}\mathbb{R}^{\tau}$ , must

be homeomorphic to  $\sigma_{\psi(x)}^{\square} \mathbb{R}^{\gamma}$ . Then, because of Proposition 1.12,  $\tau = \gamma$ . Therefore, since  $|\oplus_{\alpha < \kappa} (\square \mathbb{R}^{\tau})_{\alpha}| = |\square \mathbb{R}^{\gamma}|$ ,  $\kappa \cdot 2^{\tau} = 2^{\gamma} = 2^{\tau}$ ; thus,  $\kappa \leq 2^{\tau}$ .

Now, assume that  $\kappa \leq 2^{\tau}$  and  $\gamma = \tau$ . By Proposition 3.3, we have  $\oplus_{\alpha < \kappa} (\square \mathbb{R}^{\tau})_{\alpha} \cong \square \mathbb{R}^{\tau}$ . Hence,  $\oplus_{\alpha < \kappa} (\square \mathbb{R}^{\tau})_{\alpha} \cong \square \mathbb{R}^{\gamma}$ .  $\square$

As has been the custom in this article, for a space  $X$ , we denote by  $X_0$  the set of isolated points of  $X$ ,  $X_1 = X \setminus X_0$ ,  $X^b = (cl_X X_0) \cap X_1 \neq \emptyset$  and  $Z = X \setminus cl_X X_0$ . Our next result generalizes Proposition 1.6.

**3.5. Proposition.** *Let  $X$  be a space such that  $X_0$  is  $F_{\sigma}$  and  $X^b \neq \emptyset \neq Z$ . Then, the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $Z$  is almost- $\omega$ -resolvable.
- (2)  $X$  is  $a$ - $\omega$ -rwrt  $X_1$ .
- (3)  $A_{\hat{0}}(X) = \{f \in C(X) : f \upharpoonright X_1 \equiv 0\}$  is a clopen subgroup of  $C_{\square}(X)$ .

*Proof.* (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2): Let  $\mathcal{H} = \{H_n : n < \omega\}$  be a resolution of  $Z$ , and let  $\mathcal{F} = \{F_n : n < \omega\}$  be a partition of  $X_0$  constituted by closed subsets of  $X$ . We define  $C_0 = H_0 \cup X^b \cup F_0$  and  $C_i = H_i \cup F_i$  for  $i \geq 1$ . It happens that  $\mathcal{C} = \{C_n : n < \omega\}$  is a resolution of  $X$  with respect to  $X_1$ .

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1): Let  $\mathcal{C} = \{C_n : n < \omega\}$  be a resolution of  $X$  with respect to  $X_1$ . Then,  $\mathcal{H} = \{C_n \cap Z : n < \omega\}$  is a resolution of  $Z$ .

The equivalence (2)  $\Leftrightarrow$  (3) is Proposition 1.6.  $\square$

**3.6. Corollary.** *If  $X_0$  is an  $F_{\sigma}$ -subset of  $X$ ,  $X^b \neq \emptyset$  and  $Z$  is almost- $\omega$ -resolvable, then*

$$C_{\square}(X) = \oplus_{\hat{x} \in \hat{C}(X_1)} A_{\hat{x}}(X).$$

**3.7. Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space with  $X_0$  being an  $F_{\sigma}$ -subset of  $X$  and  $X^b \neq \emptyset$ . If  $Z$  is almost- $\omega$ -resolvable, then  $A_{\hat{0}}(X) \cong \square \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$  and  $C_{\square}(X)$  is the free topological sum of  $|\hat{C}(X_1)|$  copies of  $\square \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ .*

*Proof.* We take the subspace  $Y = X_0 \cup X^b$  of  $X$ . The set of isolated points of  $Y$ ,  $Y_0$ , is an  $F_{\sigma}$ -subset of  $Y$  and  $Y_1$  is not empty; in fact,  $Y_0 = X_0$  and  $Y_1 = X^b$ . Hence,  $A_{\hat{0}}(Y)$  is equal to  $\{f \in C(Y) : f \upharpoonright X^b \equiv 0\}$ . We claim that the natural projection  $\pi_Y : A_{\hat{0}}(X) \rightarrow A_{\hat{0}}(Y)$  defined by  $\pi_Y(f) = f \upharpoonright Y$  is a homeomorphism. By Lemma 1.2,  $\pi_Y \upharpoonright A_{\hat{0}}(X)$  is an embedding.

Let  $h \in A_{\hat{0}}(Y)$ . We define  $h' \in \mathbb{R}^X$  as  $h'(z) = 0$  for every  $z \in Z$  and  $h' \upharpoonright Y = h$ . Let  $z \in Z = X_1 \setminus X^b$  and  $\epsilon > 0$ . Since  $Z$  is open in  $X$  and  $h'[Z] = \{0\} \subset (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ , then  $h'$  is continuous in  $z$ . Now let  $z \in X^b$ . By the continuity of  $h$ , there is an open neighborhood  $W$  of  $z$  in  $X$  such that  $h[W \cap Y] \subset (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ . Since  $W = (W \cap Y) \cup (W \cap Z)$ ,  $h'[W] \subset (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ . It is clear that  $h' \in A_{\hat{0}}(X)$  and  $\pi_Y(h') = h$ . Then  $\pi_Y[A_{\hat{0}}(X)] = A_{\hat{0}}(Y)$ .

Because of Corollary 3.6,  $C_{\square}(X) = \oplus_{\hat{x} \in \hat{C}(X_1)} (A_{\hat{0}}(X))_{\hat{x}}$  where  $(A_{\hat{0}}(X))_{\hat{x}}$  is a copy of  $A_{\hat{0}}(X)$ . Thus,  $C_{\square}(X) \cong \oplus_{\hat{x} \in \hat{C}(X_1)} (A_{\hat{0}}(Y))_{\hat{x}}$ . On the other hand,  $Y_0$  is an  $F_{\sigma}$ -subset of  $Y$ ,  $\emptyset \neq Y_1 \subset cl_Y Y_0$  and  $Y_0 = X_0$ ; so  $A_{\hat{0}}(X) \cong A_{\hat{0}}(Y) \cong \square \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$  (Theorem 2.4).  $\square$

As a consequence of the previous theorem and Corollary 3.4 we obtain:

**3.8. Corollary.** *Assume that  $X_0$  is an  $F_{\sigma}$ -subset of  $X$ ,  $X^b \neq \emptyset$  and  $Z$  is almost- $\omega$ -resolvable. Then  $C_{\square}(X) \cong \square \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$  if and only if  $|\hat{C}(X_1)| \leq 2^{|X_0|}$ .*

The following result is a consequence of Theorems 0.1 and 3.7.

**3.9. Corollary.** *It is consistent with ZFC that for every space  $X$  for which  $X_0$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -subset and  $X^b \neq \emptyset$ ,  $C_\square(X) \cong \bigoplus_{f \in \widehat{C}(X_1)} (\square\mathbb{R}^{X_0})_f$ , and  $C_\square(X) \cong \square\mathbb{R}^{X_0}$  if, in addition,  $|\widehat{C}(X_1)| \leq 2^{|X_0|}$ .*

It is known (see [CH]) that  $|C(X)| \leq (wX)^{wcX} \leq 2^{d(X)}$  for every infinite space  $X$ , where  $wX$  is the least cardinal of an open basis, and  $wcX$  is the least  $\kappa$  for which each open cover of  $X$  has a subfamily with  $\kappa$  or fewer elements whose union is dense; so:

**3.10. Corollary.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space satisfying:  $X_0$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -subset of  $X$ ,  $X^b \neq \emptyset$  and  $Z$  is almost- $\omega$ -resolvable. If  $(wX_1)^{wcX_1} \leq 2^{|X_0|}$  (in particular, if  $w(X) \leq |X_0|$  or  $d(X_1) \leq |X_0|$ ), then  $C_\square(X) \cong \square\mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ .*

**3.11. Examples.**

- (1) Since every first countable space is almost- $\omega$ -resolvable,  $C_\square(X) \cong \square\mathbb{R}^{X_0}$  if  $X$  is semi-stratifiable or developable (metrizable),  $X^b \neq \emptyset$  and  $w(X) \leq |X_0|$ .
- (2) We now use the ideas of Example 2.5.(3). Let  $X$  be an almost- $\omega$ -resolvable separable space with a non-countable open subset  $A$  such that  $X \setminus cl_X A \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $Q$  be a countable dense subset of  $A$ . The space  $X_Q$  satisfies conditions in Corollary 3.10, so  $C_\square(X_Q) \cong \square\mathbb{R}^\omega$ . Observe that, in this case,  $(X_Q)_0 = Q$ ,  $(X_Q)^b = cl_X A \setminus Q$ , and  $Z(X_Q) = X \setminus cl_X A \neq \emptyset$  which is an almost- $\omega$ -resolvable space.
- (3) Because of the previous example,  $C_\square(\mathbb{R}_Q^2) \cong \square\mathbb{R}^\omega$ , where  $Q = \{(x, y) \in \mathbb{Q}^2 : y > 0\}$ .

Now we are going to prove a generalization of Theorem 2.7.

**3.12. Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a space with  $X^b \neq \emptyset$  and  $Z$  being an almost- $\omega$ -resolvable space. Assume that for each  $p \in X^b$ ,  $\mathcal{N}_0(p)$  is an ultrafilter on  $X_0$ . Then, the following assertions are equivalent.*

- (1)  $X_0$  is  $F_\sigma$  in  $X$ .
- (2)  $X_0$  is  $a$ - $\omega$ - $rwrtX^b$ .
- (3)  $C_\square(X)$  is a free topological sum of  $\leq |\widehat{C}(X_1)|$  copies of  $\square\mathbb{R}^{X_0}$  and  $X_0$  is  $a$ - $\omega$ - $rwrtX^b$ .
- (4)  $A_0(X)$  is open in  $C_\square(X)$  and  $X_0$  is  $a$ - $\omega$ - $rwrtX^b$ .
- (5)  $A_0(X) \cong \square\mathbb{R}^{X_0}$  and  $X_0$  is  $a$ - $\omega$ - $rwrtX^b$ .

*Proof.* The implication (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) is Proposition 2.6, (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) can be proved in a similar way to (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (1) in Theorem 2.7, and (1)  $\Rightarrow$  (5) is a consequence of Theorem 3.7.

(1)  $\Rightarrow$  (3): If  $X_0$  is  $F_\sigma$ , then, using Theorem 3.7, we obtain that  $C_\square(X)$  is a free topological sum of  $\leq |\widehat{C}(X_1)|$  copies of  $\square\mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ . The remainder is obtained by Proposition 2.6.

(3)  $\Rightarrow$  (2), (4)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) and (5)  $\Rightarrow$  (2) are obvious.

(2)  $\Rightarrow$  (4): This is (2)  $\Rightarrow$  (3) in Theorem 2.7 plus Theorem 2.4 if  $Z = \emptyset$ . Assume now that  $Z \neq \emptyset$ . Since  $X_0$  is  $c$ - $\omega$ - $rcraX^b$ ,  $X$  is  $a$ - $\omega$ - $rwrtX_1$ , and we have only to apply Proposition 3.5.  $\square$

We finish this section with the following result which summarizes everything we have obtained until now in this section, plus Rudin's and Lawrence's results [Ru2], [La].

**3.13. Corollary.** *Let  $X$  be a topological space such that  $X_0$  is an  $F_\sigma$ -subset of  $X$ ,  $\emptyset \neq X^b$  and  $Z$  is almost- $\omega$ -resolvable. Then:*

- (1)  $C_\square(X)$  is not normal if  $|X_0| > \aleph_0$ .
- (2) The Continuum Hypothesis implies that  $C_\square(X)$  is paracompact if  $|X_0| = \aleph_0$ .

4.  $\Sigma$ -PRODUCTS AND SPACES  $C_{\square}(X)$ 

We are now going to consider spaces  $X$  with  $|X_1| = 1$  and we will calculate  $C_{\square}(X)$  for this kind of spaces. Recall that a filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on a set  $X_0$  is  $\omega^+$ -complete if for every  $\{F_n : n < \omega\} \subset \mathcal{F}$ , the set  $\bigcap_{n < \omega} F_n$  belongs to  $\mathcal{F}$ . For a filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on a set  $X_0$ , we define  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}\mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ ,  $\Sigma_{*,\mathcal{F}}\mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ ,  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{F}}\mathbb{R}^{X_0}$  in the following way:

$$\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}\mathbb{R}^{X_0} = \{f \in \mathbb{R}^{X_0} : \{x \in X_0 : f(x) = 0\} \in \mathcal{F}\},$$

$$\Sigma_{*,\mathcal{F}}\mathbb{R}^{X_0} = \{f \in \mathbb{R}^{X_0} : \text{for all } \epsilon > 0, \{x \in X_0 : |f(x)| < \epsilon\} \in \mathcal{F}\},$$

$$\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{F}}\mathbb{R}^{X_0} = \{f \in \mathbb{R}^{X_0} : \text{for all } \epsilon > 0, \{x \in X_0 : |f(x)| \geq \epsilon\} \notin \mathcal{F}\}.$$

For a filter  $\mathcal{F}$  on  $X_0$ ,  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}\mathbb{R}^{X_0} \subset \Sigma_{*,\mathcal{F}}\mathbb{R}^{X_0} \subset \widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{F}}\mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ . When  $\mathcal{F}$  is an ultrafilter, then  $\Sigma_{*,\mathcal{F}}\mathbb{R}^{X_0} = \widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{F}}\mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ .

The symbols  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}^{\square}\mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ ,  $\Sigma_{*,\mathcal{F}}^{\square}\mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ ,  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{F}}^{\square}\mathbb{R}^{X_0}$  mean that we are considering the sets  $\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}\mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ ,  $\Sigma_{*,\mathcal{F}}\mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ ,  $\widehat{\Sigma}_{\mathcal{F}}\mathbb{R}^{X_0}$  with their box product topology.

Recall that for a space  $X$ ,  $X_0$  is the set of isolated points of  $X$ ,  $X_1 = X \setminus X_0$  and always  $\emptyset \neq X_1 \subset cl_X X_0$ .

We begin our analysis by obtaining some results about resolvability of  $X$  when  $|X_1| = 1$ .

**4.1. Proposition.** *Let  $X$  be a space such that  $X_1 = \{p\}$ . Then,  $X$  is  $a$ - $\omega$ - $rwrtX_1$  iff  $X_0$  is  $a$ - $\omega$ - $rwrtX_1$ .*

*Proof.* Assume that  $X$  is  $a$ - $\omega$ - $rwrtX_1$  and let  $\{F_n : n < \omega\}$  be a resolution of  $X$  with respect to  $X_1$ . Let  $n_0$  be a natural number such that  $p \in F_{n_0}$ . So,  $\{F_n : n \in \omega \setminus \{n_0\}\} \cup \{F_{n_0} \cap X_0\}$  is a resolution of  $X_0$  with respect to  $X_1$ .  $\square$

**4.2. Proposition.** *Let  $X_1 = \{p\}$ , and assume that  $\mathcal{N}_0(p) = \{X_0 \cap N : N \text{ is a neighborhood of } p\}$  is a non- $\omega^+$ -complete filter. Then,  $X_0$  is  $a$ - $\omega$ - $rwrtX_1$ .*

*Proof.* Let  $\{V_n : n < \omega\} \subset \mathcal{N}_0(p)$  such that  $\bigcap_{n < \omega} V_n \notin \mathcal{N}_0(p)$  with  $V_0 = X_0$ . Let  $W_n = \bigcap_{i \leq n} V_i$ ,  $F_0 = \bigcap_{n < \omega} W_n$  and  $F_{n+1} = W_n \setminus W_{n+1}$ . We are going to prove that  $\{F_n : n < \omega\}$  is a resolution of  $X_0$  with respect to  $X_1$ .

Suppose the contrary; that is, assume that there are  $V \in \mathcal{N}(p)$  and  $n_0 < \omega$  such that  $V \cap F_n = \emptyset$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . We take  $M_0 = W_{n_0+1} \cap V$ . The set  $M_0$  belongs to  $\mathcal{N}(p)$  and  $M_0 \cap F_n = \emptyset$  for all  $n \geq n_0$ . We claim that  $M_0$  is contained in  $\bigcap_{n > n_0} W_n$ . In fact, if  $x \in M_0$ , then  $x \in W_{n_0+1}$ . If  $x \notin W_{n_0+2}$ ,  $x$  must belong to  $F_{n_0+2}$ , which is not possible. The same reasoning used in an inductive process gives us that  $x$  must belong to  $\bigcap_{n > n_0} W_n$ . But  $\mathcal{N}(p)$  is a filter,  $M_0 \in \mathcal{N}(p)$  and  $M_0 \subset \bigcap_{n > n_0} W_n = \bigcap_{n < \omega} W_n$ ; so, we obtain a contradiction. Then  $\{F_n : n < \omega\}$  is a resolution of  $X_0$  with respect to  $X_1$ .  $\square$

As a consequence of Theorem 2.7 and Proposition 4.2 we can prove:

**4.3. Theorem.** *If  $\mathcal{N}_0(p)$  is an ultrafilter which is not an  $\omega^+$ -complete filter, then  $X_0$  is  $F_{\sigma}$  in  $X$  and  $C_{\square}(X) \cong \square\mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ .*

So, for an infinite cardinal number  $\kappa$ , if  $p \in \beta\kappa \setminus \kappa$  is not  $\omega^+$ -complete, and  $\{p\} \cup \kappa$  is considered with its topology inherited from  $\beta\kappa$ , then

$$C_{\square}(\{p\} \cup \kappa) \cong \square\mathbb{R}^{\kappa}.$$

Now, we are going to see that the “ $\Sigma$ -products” defined at the beginning of this section are related to our study of  $C_{\square}(X)$ .

**4.4. Proposition.** *If  $X_1 = \{p\}$ , then  $A_{\hat{0}}(X) \cong \Sigma_{*, \mathcal{N}_0(p)}^{\square} \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ .*

*Proof.* The range of the isomorphic embedding  $\phi : A_{\hat{0}}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$  defined as  $\phi(f) = f \upharpoonright X_0$  (see Lemma 1.2) is equal to  $\Sigma_{*, \mathcal{N}_0(p)}^{\square} \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ . Indeed, if  $\epsilon > 0$  and  $f \in A_{\hat{0}}(X)$ , there is  $V \in \mathcal{N}(p)$  such that  $f[V] \subset (-\epsilon, \epsilon)$ . Thus,  $V \cap X_0 \in \mathcal{N}_0(p)$  and  $V \cap X_0 \subset \{x \in X : |f(x)| < \epsilon\}$ . Therefore,  $\{x \in X_0 : |f(x)| < \epsilon\} \in \mathcal{N}_0(p)$ . This means that  $f \upharpoonright X_0 \in \Sigma_{*, \mathcal{N}_0(p)}^{\square} \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ . Moreover, if  $g \in \Sigma_{*, \mathcal{N}_0(p)}^{\square} \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$  and  $\hat{g} \in \mathbb{R}^X$  is such that  $\hat{g} \upharpoonright X_0 = g$  and  $\hat{g}(p) = 0$ , then  $\hat{g} \in A_{\hat{0}}(X)$  and  $\phi(\hat{g}) = g$ .  $\square$

**4.5. Proposition.** *If  $X_1 = \{p\}$  and  $\mathcal{N}_0(p)$  is an  $\omega^+$ -complete filter, then*

$$\Sigma_{\mathcal{N}_0(p)}^{\square} \mathbb{R}^{X_0} = \Sigma_{*, \mathcal{N}_0(p)}^{\square} \mathbb{R}^{X_0}.$$

*Proof.* We only have to prove that  $\Sigma_{*, \mathcal{N}_0(p)}^{\square} \mathbb{R}^{X_0} \subset \Sigma_{\mathcal{N}_0(p)}^{\square} \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ . Let  $F \in \Sigma_{*, \mathcal{N}_0(p)}^{\square} \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ , and for each  $n < \omega$  we take  $D_n = \{x \in X_0 : |f(x)| < \frac{1}{2^n}\}$ . It is clear that  $D_n \in \mathcal{N}_0(p)$  for all  $n < \omega$ . So,  $\bigcap_{n < \omega} D_n \in \mathcal{N}_0(p)$ . But  $\bigcap_{n < \omega} D_n = \{x \in X_0 : f(x) = 0\}$ . Therefore,  $f \in \Sigma_{\mathcal{N}_0(p)}^{\square} \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ .  $\square$

Because of the last two propositions we obtain the following corollary.

**4.6. Corollary.** *If  $X_1 = \{p\}$  and  $\mathcal{N}_0(p)$  is an  $\omega^+$ -complete filter, then*

$$A_{\hat{0}}(X) \cong \Sigma_{\mathcal{N}_0(p)}^{\square} \mathbb{R}^{X_0}.$$

So, if  $X_1 = \{p\}$ , then the following assertions hold:

(1) If  $\mathcal{N}_0(p)$  is an  $\omega^+$ -complete filter, and  $X$  is a- $\omega$ -rwrt $X_1$ , then

$$C_{\square}(X) \cong \bigoplus_{x \in \mathbb{R}} [\Sigma_{\mathcal{N}_0(p)}^{\square} \mathbb{R}^{X_0}]_x.$$

(2) If  $\mathcal{N}_0(p)$  is a filter which is not  $\omega^+$ -complete, then

$$C_{\square}(X) \cong \bigoplus_{x \in \mathbb{R}} [\Sigma_{*, \mathcal{N}_0(p)}^{\square} \mathbb{R}^{X_0}]_x.$$

Now we are going to consider the one-point compactification  $K(X_0)$  of the discrete space  $X_0$ . Let  $p$  be the point of  $K(X_0)$  which compactifies  $X_0$ .

**4.7. Proposition.** *Let  $X_1 = \{p\}$ . Then,  $X = K(X_0)$  if and only if, for every  $A \in [X_0]^{\aleph_0}$  and  $V \in \mathcal{N}(p)$ , we have  $A \cap V \neq \emptyset$ .*

**4.8. Theorem.** *If  $X = K(X_0)$ , then  $\mathcal{N}(p)$  is not  $\omega^+$ -complete. So, in this case we have*

$$C_{\square}(X) \cong \bigoplus_{x \in \mathbb{R}} [\Sigma_{*, \mathcal{N}_0(p)}^{\square} \mathbb{R}^{X_0}]_x.$$

*Proof.* Let  $A \in [X_0]^{\aleph_0}$  with  $A = \{a_n : n < \omega\}$  and  $a_n \neq a_m$  if  $n \neq m$ . The set  $V_n = X_0 \setminus \{a_0, \dots, a_n\}$  belongs to  $\mathcal{N}_0(p)$  for every  $n < \omega$ , and  $\bigcap_{n < \omega} V_n \notin \mathcal{N}_0(p)$ .  $\square$

In order to reduce (1) and (2) formulated after Corollary 4.6, we need to calculate the *hop* number of our “ $\Sigma$ -products”.

**4.9. Theorem.** *Let  $X_1 = \{p\}$  and let  $\kappa \geq \aleph_0$ . If there is  $A \in [X_0]^\kappa$  such that  $X \setminus A \in \mathcal{N}(p)$ , then*

$$\text{hop}(\Sigma_{*,\mathcal{N}_0(p)}^\square \mathbb{R}^{X_0}) \geq (2^\kappa)^+$$

and

$$\text{hop}(\Sigma_{\mathcal{N}_0(p)}^\square \mathbb{R}^{X_0}) \geq (2^\kappa)^+.$$

*Proof.* We will sketch the proof of the first inequality. The proof of the second inequality is similar. Let  $A \in [X_0]^\kappa$  such that  $X \setminus A \in \mathcal{N}(p)$ . Because of Proposition 3.3, there exists a clopen partition of  $\square \mathbb{R}^A$  of cardinality  $2^\kappa$  such that each of its elements is homeomorphic to  $\square \mathbb{R}^A$ . Let  $\{A_\lambda : \lambda < 2^\kappa\}$  be such a partition. For each  $\lambda < 2^\kappa$  we take a homeomorphism  $\psi_\lambda : A_\lambda \rightarrow \square \mathbb{R}^A$ , and we take  $B_\lambda \subset \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$  such that  $\pi_x(B_\lambda) = \mathbb{R}$  if  $x \notin A$  and  $\pi_A[B_\lambda] = A_\lambda$ . Now we define for  $\lambda < 2^\kappa$ ,  $C_\lambda = B_\lambda \cap \Sigma_{*,\mathcal{N}_0(p)}^\square \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ . The set  $C_\lambda$  is a non-empty subset of  $\Sigma_{*,\mathcal{N}_0(p)}^\square \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$  for each  $\lambda < 2^\kappa$  because  $X \setminus A \in \mathcal{N}(p)$ . The function  $\Psi_\lambda : C_\lambda \rightarrow \Sigma_{*,\mathcal{N}_0(p)}^\square \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$  defined by  $\pi_A \circ \Psi_\lambda(f) = \psi_\lambda(f \upharpoonright A)$  and for  $x \notin A$ ,  $\pi_x \circ \Psi_\lambda(f) = f(x)$ , is a homeomorphism. (The hypothesis  $X \setminus A \in \mathcal{N}(p)$  also gives us the surjectivity of  $\Psi_\lambda$ .) Furthermore, it is possible to prove that  $\{C_\lambda : \lambda < 2^\kappa\}$  is a clopen partition of  $\Sigma_{*,\mathcal{N}_0(p)}^\square \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ .  $\square$

As a consequence of Proposition 4.7 and Theorem 4.9 we have:

**4.10. Corollary.** *Let  $X_1 = \{p\}$  and  $X \neq K(X_0)$ . Then,*

$$\text{hop}(\Sigma_{*,\mathcal{N}_0(p)}^\square \mathbb{R}^{X_0}) \geq (2^{\aleph_0})^+$$

and

$$\text{hop}(\Sigma_{\mathcal{N}_0(p)}^\square \mathbb{R}^{X_0}) \geq (2^{\aleph_0})^+.$$

For a set  $S$ , the “ $\Sigma$ -product”  $\Sigma = \{f \in \mathbb{R}^S : \forall \epsilon > 0, |\{x \in S : |f(x)| \geq \epsilon\}| < \aleph_0\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^S$  coincides with the  $\Sigma$ -product  $\Sigma_{*,\mathcal{F}_0}^\square \mathbb{R}^S$ , where  $\mathcal{F}_0$  is the Fréchet filter on  $S$  ( $F \in \mathcal{F}_0$  iff  $S \setminus F$  is finite). That is,  $\Sigma = \Sigma_{*,\mathcal{N}(p)}^\square \mathbb{R}^S$  where  $p$  is the point which compactifies the discrete space  $S$ .

**4.11. Proposition.** *Let  $X = K(X_0)$ . Then,  $\text{hop}(\Sigma_{*,\mathcal{N}_0(p)}^\square \mathbb{R}^{X_0}) \geq (2^{\aleph_0})^+$ .*

*Proof.* We have already mentioned that  $\Sigma_{*,\mathcal{N}_0(p)}^\square \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$  is equal to the subspace  $\{f \in \mathbb{R}^{X_0} : \forall \epsilon > 0, |\{x \in X_0 : |f(x)| \geq \epsilon\}| < \aleph_0\}$  of  $\square \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ . Let us fix an infinite countable subset of  $X_0$ :  $B = \{x_n : n < \omega\}$ . The collection  $\mathcal{F} = \{\{x_n\} : n < \omega\}$  is a partition of  $B$ . So, we can consider the clopen subgroup  $E(\mathcal{F})$  of  $\square \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$  defined by  $\mathcal{F}$  (see definition before Proposition 1.3). It is now easy to verify that  $C = \Sigma_{*,\mathcal{N}_0(p)}^\square \mathbb{R}^{X_0} \cap E(\mathcal{F})$  is a non-empty clopen subgroup of  $\Sigma_{*,\mathcal{N}_0(p)}^\square \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ . Thus,  $\Sigma_{*,\mathcal{N}_0(p)}^\square \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$  can be expressed as the free topological sum of the cosets of the quotient group  $G = \Sigma_{*,\mathcal{N}_0(p)}^\square \mathbb{R}^{X_0} / C$ :

$$\Sigma_{*,\mathcal{N}_0(p)}^\square \mathbb{R}^{X_0} \cong \bigoplus_{D \in G} D \cong \bigoplus_{\beta < |G|} (C)_\beta.$$

Now, we are going to prove that  $|G|$  is greater or equal to  $2^\omega$ . In fact, take an almost disjoint family  $\mathcal{B}$  of  $B$  of cardinality  $2^\omega$ , and for each  $T \in \mathcal{B}$  we define  $f_T : X_0 \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as

$$f_T(x) = \begin{cases} \frac{1}{2^{n-1}} & \text{if } x = x_n \text{ and } x_n \in T \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

It is clear that  $f_T \in \Sigma_{*, \mathcal{N}_0(p)}^\square \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ . Furthermore, if  $T_1, T_2 \in \mathcal{B}$  are different,  $f_{T_1} - f_{T_2}$  does not belong to  $C$ . In fact, since  $T_1 \setminus T_2$  is an infinite set, there is a sequence  $\{n_k : k < \omega\}$  of natural numbers, strictly increasing, such that  $\{x_{n_k} : k < \omega\} \subset T_1 \setminus T_2$ . For each  $m < \omega$ , there is  $k_m$  such that  $n_{k_m} > m$ ; so,  $(f_{T_1} - f_{T_2})(x_{n_{k_m}}) = \frac{1}{2^{n_{k_m}-1}} \notin [-\frac{1}{2^{n_{k_m}}}, \frac{1}{2^{n_{k_m}}}]$ . Then,  $f_{T_1} - f_{T_2} \notin E_{0,m}(\mathcal{F})$ . Therefore,  $f_{T_1} - f_{T_2} \notin E_0(\mathcal{F})$ . We conclude that  $|G| \geq 2^\omega$ .

Now, because of Theorem 4.8 and the facts we have already shown in this proof,

$$C_\square(X) \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha < 2^{\aleph_0}} \Sigma_{*, \mathcal{N}_0(p)}^\square \mathbb{R}^{X_0} \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha < 2^{\aleph_0} \cdot |G|} (C)_\alpha \cong \bigoplus_{\alpha < |G|} (C)_\alpha \cong \Sigma_{*, \mathcal{N}_0(p)}^\square \mathbb{R}^{X_0}.$$

This means that  $\text{hop}(\Sigma_{*, \mathcal{N}_0(p)}^\square \mathbb{R}^{X_0}) \geq (2^{\aleph_0})^+$ .  $\square$

Next, we summarize the results given in Theorem 4.8, Corollary 4.10, Proposition 4.11 and in formulas (1), (2) which appear after Corollary 4.6.

**4.12. Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be a space with  $X_1 = \{p\}$ . Then, the following propositions hold.*

- (1) *If  $\mathcal{N}_0(p)$  is  $\omega^+$ -complete and  $X$  is a- $\omega$ -rwrt $X_1$ , then*

$$C_\square(X) \cong \Sigma_{\mathcal{N}_0(p)}^\square \mathbb{R}^{X_0}.$$

- (2) *If  $\mathcal{N}_0(p)$  is not  $\omega^+$ -complete, then*

$$C_\square(X) \cong \Sigma_{*, \mathcal{N}_0(p)}^\square \mathbb{R}^{X_0}.$$

**4.13. Examples.**

- (1) Let  $\kappa$  be an infinite cardinal and let  $p \in \beta\kappa \setminus \kappa$ . Consider the subspace  $X = \kappa \cup \{p\}$  of  $\beta\kappa$ . If  $p$  is not  $\omega^+$ -complete, then

$$\Sigma_{*, p}^\square \mathbb{R}^\kappa \cong \square \mathbb{R}^\kappa$$

(see Theorem 4.12.(2) and the remark after Theorem 4.3).

- (2) Let  $L_\kappa(X_0) = \{p\} \cup X_0$  be the  $< \kappa^+$ -Lindelöfication of the discrete space  $X_0$  where  $p \notin X_0$  (that is, every point in  $X_0$  is isolated and a system of neighborhoods of  $p$  is  $\{V \subset L_\kappa(X_0) : p \in V \text{ and } |L_\kappa(X_0) \setminus V| < \kappa^+\}$ ). The filter  $\mathcal{N}_0(p)$  is  $\omega^+$ -complete, and if  $\text{cof}(|X_0|) > \kappa$ , then  $L_\kappa(X_0)$  is a- $\omega$ -rwrt $\{p\}$ . Since  $\{p\} = (L_\kappa(X_0))_1$ ,  $C_\square(L_\kappa(X_0)) \cong \Sigma_{\mathcal{N}_0(p)}^\square \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ .
- (3) In particular, if  $|X_0| = \aleph_1$ , then the space of the real-valued continuous functions defined in the Lindelöfication of  $X_0$  with the box product topology,  $C_\square(L(X_0))$ , is homeomorphic to the  $\Sigma$ -product  $\Sigma_0^\square \mathbb{R}^{\aleph_1}$  of  $\square \mathbb{R}^{\aleph_1}$  based on  $\hat{0}$  with the box product topology.

This last example raises the following problem:

**4.14. Problem.** Is  $\Sigma_0^\square \mathbb{R}^{\aleph_1}$  homeomorphic to  $\square \mathbb{R}^{\aleph_1}$  if  $2^\omega = 2^{\omega_1}$ ?

The last results of this section take advantage, once more, of Theorem 2.7 in order to obtain relations between product spaces  $\square \mathbb{R}^\kappa$  and their  $\Sigma$ -products, and they give positive answers to some variations of Problem 4.14.

For infinite cardinal numbers  $\gamma$  and  $\kappa$  with  $\gamma \leq \kappa$ , we define

$$\Sigma_{0,\gamma}^\square \mathbb{R}^\kappa = \{f \in \square \mathbb{R}^\kappa : |\{\lambda < \kappa : f(\lambda) \neq 0\}| < \gamma\}.$$

**4.15. Proposition.** For uncountable cardinals  $\gamma$  and  $\kappa$  with  $\gamma \leq \kappa$ ,  $\text{cof}(\gamma) > \aleph_0$  and  $\text{cof}(\kappa) = \aleph_0$ ,  $\square \mathbb{R}^\kappa$  is homeomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{\lambda < 2^\kappa} (\Sigma_{0,\gamma}^\square \mathbb{R}^\kappa)_\lambda$ .

*Proof.* Let  $Y = \{q \in \kappa^* : |F| \geq \gamma \forall F \in q\}$ . Take  $X = \kappa \cup Y$  with its topology inherited from  $\beta\kappa$ . Since  $\text{cof}(\kappa) = \aleph_0$ ,  $\kappa (= X_0)$  is  $\mathfrak{a}\text{-}\omega\text{-rwr}X_1$ . Then, Theorem 2.7 guarantees that  $C_\square(X)$  is homeomorphic to  $\square \mathbb{R}^\kappa$ .

On the other hand, the isomorphic embedding  $\phi$  from  $A_0(X)$  to  $\square \mathbb{R}^\kappa$ , defined in Lemma 1.2, satisfies  $\phi[A_0(X)] = \Sigma_{0,\gamma}^\square \mathbb{R}^\kappa$  (here we use the hypothesis  $\text{cof}(\gamma) > \omega$ ). Moreover, space  $X$  is normal and  $Y$  is closed in  $X$ , so  $\widehat{C}(Y) = C(Y)$ . Since  $Y$  is a compact space,  $|C(Y)| = 2^\kappa$  (Proposition 1.14). Hence, by Corollary 1.7,  $C_\square(X) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda < 2^\kappa} (\Sigma_{0,\gamma}^\square \mathbb{R}^\kappa)_\lambda$ .  $\square$

As a consequence of the previous result we obtain:

**4.16. Corollary.** Let  $\kappa$  and  $\gamma$  be two cardinal numbers which satisfy the same properties given in the hypotheses of Proposition 4.15. Then,  $\square \mathbb{R}^\kappa \cong \Sigma_{0,\gamma}^\square \mathbb{R}^\kappa$  iff  $\text{hop}(\Sigma_{0,\gamma}^\square \mathbb{R}^\kappa) = (2^\kappa)^+$ .

**4.17. Corollary.** Let  $\gamma < \kappa$ ,  $\text{cof}(\kappa) = \omega$ ,  $\text{cof}(\gamma) > \omega$ . Assume that there is a cardinal number  $\tau < \gamma$  such that  $2^\tau = 2^\kappa$ . Then,  $\text{hop}(\Sigma_{0,\gamma}^\square \mathbb{R}^\kappa) = (2^\kappa)^+$  and  $\square \mathbb{R}^\kappa \cong \Sigma_{0,\gamma}^\square \mathbb{R}^\kappa$ .

*Proof.* We have that  $\Sigma_{0,\gamma}^\square \mathbb{R}^\kappa$  is equal to  $\Sigma_{0,\mathcal{N}(p)}^\square \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$  where  $X$  is the  $< \gamma$ -Lindelöfication of the discrete space of cardinality  $\kappa$ . Corollary 4.9 implies that  $\text{hop}(\Sigma_{0,\gamma}^\square \mathbb{R}^\kappa) \geq (2^\tau)^+$ . This inequality plus the hypothesis  $2^\tau = 2^\kappa$  plus Proposition 4.15 imply  $\square \mathbb{R}^\kappa \cong \Sigma_{0,\gamma}^\square \mathbb{R}^\kappa$ .  $\square$

## 5. SPACES $C_\square(X)$ WHEN $X$ IS COUNTABLY COMPACT

We have already pointed out that for a set  $S$  the “ $\Sigma$ -product”  $\Sigma = \{f \in \mathbb{R}^S : \forall \epsilon > 0, |\{x \in S : |f(x)| \geq \epsilon\}| < \aleph_0\}$  of  $\mathbb{R}^S$ , coincides with the  $\Sigma$ -product  $\Sigma_{*,\mathcal{F}_0}^\square \mathbb{R}^S$  where  $\mathcal{F}_0$  is the Fréchet filter on  $S$  ( $F \in \mathcal{F}_0$  iff  $S \setminus F$  is finite). That is,  $\Sigma$  is equal to  $\Sigma_{*,\mathcal{N}(p)}^\square \mathbb{R}^S$  where  $p$  is the point which compactifies the discrete space  $S$ . Recall that for a topological space  $X$ ,  $X_0$  is its subset of isolated points,  $X_1 = X \setminus X_0$ ,  $X^b = X_1 \cap \text{cl}_X X_0$  and  $Z = X_1 \setminus X^b$ . Moreover, every space  $X$  in this article satisfies  $X_0 \neq \emptyset \neq X^b$ .

**5.1. Proposition.** Let  $X$  be such that every infinite subspace of  $X_0$  has a cluster point in  $X$ . Then  $A_0(X)$  is homeomorphic to  $\Sigma_{*,\mathcal{F}_0}^\square \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ .

*Proof.* It is easy to verify that the range of the isomorphic embedding  $\phi : A_0(X) \rightarrow \square \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$  (see Lemma 1.2) coincides with  $\Sigma_{*,\mathcal{F}_0}^\square \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ .  $\square$

**5.2. Theorem.** *Let  $X$  be such that every infinite subset of  $X_0$  has a cluster point in  $X$ , and such that  $X$  is a- $\omega$ -rwrt $X_1$ . Then  $C_{\square}(X)$  is homeomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{\hat{x} \in \widehat{C}(X_1)} (\Sigma_{*, \mathcal{F}_0}^{\square} \mathbb{R}^{X_0})_{\hat{x}}$ . In particular,  $C_{\square}(X) \cong \Sigma_{*, \mathcal{F}_0}^{\square} \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$  if  $|\widehat{C}(X_1)| \leq 2^{\omega}$ .*

*Proof.* Since  $X$  is a- $\omega$ -rwrt $X_1$ ,  $A_{\hat{0}}(X)$  is clopen in  $C_{\square}(X)$ . So,

$$C_{\square}(X) \cong \bigoplus_{\hat{x} \in \widehat{C}(X_1)} A_{\hat{x}}(X) \cong \bigoplus_{\hat{x} \in \widehat{C}(X_1)} (\Sigma_{*, \mathcal{F}_0}^{\square} \mathbb{R}^{X_0})_{\hat{x}}$$

(Proposition 5.1). The last assertion follows from Proposition 4.11.  $\square$

Since every countably compact space is almost- $\omega$ -resolvable, the following result is a consequence of the previous theorem.

**5.3. Corollary.** *If  $X$  is a countably compact space, then*

$$C_{\square}(X) \cong \bigoplus_{\hat{x} \in \widehat{C}(X_1)} (\Sigma_{*, \mathcal{F}_0}^{\square} \mathbb{R}^{X_0})_{\hat{x}}.$$

*If in addition  $|\widehat{C}(X_1)| \leq 2^{\omega}$ , we obtain  $C_{\square}(X) \cong \Sigma_{*, \mathcal{F}_0}^{\square} \mathbb{R}^{X_0}$ .*

Observe that if  $X$  is a pseudocompact space and  $N$  is an infinite countable subset of  $X_0$ , then  $N$  must have a cluster point in  $X$ . So, it is natural to ask:

**5.4. Problem.** Is  $X$  a- $\omega$ -rwrt $X_1$  if  $X$  is a pseudocompact space?

**5.5. Corollary.**

- (1) *For every infinite cardinal number  $\kappa$ ,  $C_{\square}(\beta\kappa)$  is homeomorphic to  $\bigoplus_{\lambda < 2^{\kappa}} (\Sigma_{*, \mathcal{F}_0}^{\square} \mathbb{R}^{\kappa})_{\lambda}$ .*
- (2) *For every  $q \in \omega^*$ ,  $\square \mathbb{R}^{\omega} \cong \Sigma_{*, \mathcal{F}_0}^{\square} \mathbb{R}^{\omega} \cong \Sigma_{*, q}^{\square} \mathbb{R}^{\omega}$ .*

*Proof.* Assertion (1) follows from Corollary 5.3 and from the equalities:  $(\beta\kappa)_1 = \kappa^*$ ,  $\widehat{C}(\kappa^*) = C(\kappa^*)$ ,  $w(\kappa^*) = 2^{\kappa}$  and (by Proposition 1.14)  $|C(\kappa^*)| = w(\kappa^*)^{\omega} = 2^{\kappa}$ .

Assertion (2) is implied by Theorem 2.7 and Corollary 5.3.  $\square$

Again, using Proposition 1.14, we will prove the following lemma.

**5.6. Lemma.** *For every infinite ordinal number  $\alpha$ ,  $|C([0, \alpha])| = |\alpha|^{\omega}$ .*

*Proof.* For every ordinal number  $\alpha$ , the space  $[0, \alpha]$  is compact; so,  $|C([0, \alpha])| = |\alpha|^{\omega}$  by Proposition 1.14. If  $\alpha$  is a successor ordinal,  $[0, \alpha]$  is compact and, again,  $|C([0, \alpha])| = |\alpha|^{\omega}$ . Moreover, if  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal with uncountable cofinality, then  $|C([0, \alpha])| = |C([0, \alpha])| = |\alpha|^{\omega}$ .

Now, we only have to prove the equality  $|C([0, \alpha])| = |\alpha|^{\omega}$  when  $\alpha$  is a limit ordinal with countable cofinality. In this case, if  $\alpha_0 < \alpha_1 < \dots < \alpha_n < \dots$  and  $\sup\{\alpha_n : n < \omega\} = \alpha$ , thus  $C([0, \alpha]) \cong \prod_{n < \omega} C([0, \alpha_{n+1}])$ . Then,  $|C([0, \alpha])| \leq \prod_{n < \omega} |C([0, \alpha_n])| = \prod_{n < \omega} |\alpha_n|^{\omega} \leq |\alpha|^{\omega}$ .

On the other hand,  $|\alpha|^{\omega} = |C([0, \alpha])| \leq |C([0, \alpha])|$  because the relation  $f \rightarrow f \upharpoonright [0, \alpha]$  is a one-to-one function from  $C([0, \alpha])$  to  $C([0, \alpha])$ .  $\square$

So, the following results are a consequence of Corollary 5.3 and Lemma 5.6.

**5.7. Corollary.** *Let  $\alpha$  be an infinite ordinal. Then,*

- (1)  $C_{\square}([0, \alpha]) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda < |\alpha|^{\omega}} (\Sigma_{*, \mathcal{F}_0}^{\square} \mathbb{R}^{|\alpha|})_{\lambda}$ ;
- (2)  $C_{\square}([0, \alpha]) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda < |\alpha|^{\omega}} (\Sigma_{*, \mathcal{F}_0}^{\square} \mathbb{R}^{|\alpha|})_{\lambda}$  if  $\text{cof}(\alpha) > \aleph_0$ ;
- (3)  $\square \mathbb{R}^{\omega} \cong C_{\square}([0, \omega])$  and  $C_{\square}([0, \omega_1]) \cong C_{\square}([0, \omega_1]) \cong \Sigma_{*, \mathcal{F}_0}^{\square} \mathbb{R}^{\omega_1}$ .

Observe that the connected component of a point  $x$  in  $\Sigma_{*, \mathcal{F}_0}^{\square} \mathbb{R}^{|\alpha|}$  is homeomorphic to  $\sigma_x^{\square} \mathbb{R}^{|\alpha|}$ . By Proposition 1.12 and the previous results we obtain:

**5.8. Corollary.** *Let  $\alpha$  and  $\beta$  be two infinite ordinals, both with cofinality different to  $\aleph_0$ . Then,  $C_{\square}([0, \alpha]) \cong C_{\square}([0, \beta])$  if and only if  $|\alpha| = |\beta|$ .*

Consider the set

$$\tilde{\Sigma}_{*, \mathcal{F}_0}^{\square} \mathbb{R}^{[0, \alpha]} = \{f \in \mathbb{R}^{[0, \alpha]} : \text{for all } \epsilon > 0 \text{ and } \beta < \alpha, |\{\lambda < \beta : |f(\lambda)| \geq \epsilon\}| < \aleph_0\}.$$

We denote this set with its box topology by  $\tilde{\Sigma}_{*, \mathcal{F}_0}^{\square} \mathbb{R}^{[0, \alpha]}$ . We leave the proof of the following result to the reader.

**5.9. Proposition.** *Let  $\alpha$  be an ordinal number such that  $|\alpha| > \aleph_0$  and  $\text{cof}(\alpha) = \aleph_0$ . Then,*

$$C_{\square}([0, \alpha]) \cong \bigoplus_{\lambda < |\alpha|^{\omega}} (\tilde{\Sigma}_{*, \mathcal{F}_0}^{\square} \mathbb{R}^{[0, \alpha]})_{\lambda}.$$

## REFERENCES

- [Ar] A.V. Arkhangel'skii, *Topological Function Spaces*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Mathematics and its Applications, vol. 78, Dordrecht, Boston, London, 1992.
- [BNS] E. Beckenstein, L. Narici and C. Suffel, edit. L. Nachbin, *Topological Algebras*, North Holland, Mathematics Studies, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, 1977.
- [CH] W.W. Comfort and W. Hager, *Estimates for the number of real-valued continuous functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **150** (1970), 619-631.
- [DH] G. Di Malo and Ľ. Holá (editors), *Recent Progress in Function Spaces*, vol. 3, Seconda Università degli Studi di Napoli, Quaderni di Matematica, 1998.
- [vD] E.K. van Downen, *The box product of countably many metrizable spaces need not be normal*, Fund. Math. **88** (1975), 127-132.
- [E] R. Engelking, *General Topology*, Heldermann Verlag, Berlin, 1989.
- [GJ] L. Gillman and M. Jerison, *Rings of Continuous Functions*, Springer Verlag, Graduate Texts in Mathematics, New York, Heidelberg, Berlin, 1976.
- [H] R. Hodel, *Cardinal Functions I*, Handbook of Set Theoretic Topology, (K. Kunen, J. Vaughan, eds.), North Holland, Amsterdam, New York, Oxford, Tokyo, 1984, 1-61.
- [Kn] C. J. Knight, *Box topologies*, Quart. J. Math. **15** (1964), 41-54.
- [Ku] K. Kunen, *On paracompactness of box products of compact spaces*, Trans., Amer., Math., Soc. **240** (1978), 307-316.
- [KST] K. Kunen, A. Szymansky and F. Tall, *Baire irresolvable spaces and ideal theory*, Annal Math. Silesiana **2** (14) (1986), 98-107.
- [La] L.B. Lawrence, *Failure of normality in the box product of uncountably many real lines*, Trans., Amer., Math., Soc. **348** (1996), 187-203.
- [NyP] P. Nyikos and L. Piatkiewicz, *Paracompact subspaces in the Box product Topology*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **124** (1996), 303-314.
- [Ru1] M.E. Rudin, *A normal space  $X$  for which  $X \times I$  is not normal*, Fund. Math. **73** (1971), 179-186.
- [Ru2] M.E. Rudin, *The box product of countably many compact metric spaces*, General Topology and Appl. **2** (1972), 293-298.

- [Ru3] M.E. Rudin, *Lectures on set theoretic topology*, Conference Board of the Mathematical Science, Amer. Math. Soc., 1975.
- [TV] A. Tamariz-Mascarúa and H. Villegas-Rodríguez, *Spaces of continuous functions, box products and almost- $\omega$ -resolvable spaces*, *Comm. Math. Univ. Carolinae* **43 (2)** (2002), 687-705.
- [Ti] H. Tietze, *Beitrage zur allgemeinen topologie I*, *Math. Ann.* **88** (1923), 280-312.
- [V] J.E. Vaughan, *Non-normal products of  $\omega_\mu$  metrizable spaces*, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* **51** (1975), 203-208.
- [Wi] S.W. Williams, *Box products*, *Handbook of Set-Theoretic Topology* (K. Kunen, J. Vaughan, eds.), North Holland, 1984, 169-200.

FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS FÍSICO-MATEMÁTICAS, BENEMÉRITA UNIVERSIDAD AUTÓNOMA DE PUEBLA,  
AV. SAN CLAUDIO Y RIO VERDE, COL. SAN MANUEL, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, PUEBLA, PUE., MÉXICO.  
*E-mail address:* jangoa@fcfm.buap.mx

DEPARTAMENTO DE MATEMÁTICAS, FACULTAD DE CIENCIAS, UNIVERSIDAD NACIONAL AUTÓNOMA DE  
MÉXICO, CIUDAD UNIVERSITARIA, MEXICO D.F., 04510, MÉXICO.  
*E-mail address:* atamariz@servidor.unam.mx